-vs-

12-CR-56S

STEVEN A. KNIGHTON,

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

the Honorable William M. Skretny,

Buffalo Courtroom, Robert H. Jackson

Courthouse, 2 Niagara Square, Buffalo,

New York, on December 4, 2014.

#### APPEARANCES:

THOMAS S. DUSZKIEWICZ,
Assistant United States Attorney,
Appearing for the United States.

ANGELO MUSITANO, ESQ., Appearing for Defendant.

Michelle L. McLaughlin, RPR, Official Reporter, U.S.D.C. W.D.N.Y.

	Case 1:12-cr-00056-WMS-HKS Document 116 Filed 06/12/15	Page 2 of 251
		2
1	INDEX	
2	WITNESS	PAGE
3	JOSE FIGUEIREDO	4
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Duszkiewicz Cross-Examination by Mr. Musitano	4 36
5	PATRICK DiPIRRO Direct Examination by Mr. Duszkiewicz	52
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Musitano	69
7	RONALD F. YATES Direct Examination by Mr. Duszkiewicz	76
8	Cross-Examination by Mr. Musitano Redirect Examination by Mr. Duszkiewicz	90
9	WILLIAM VALERIO	
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Duszkiewicz Cross-Examination by Mr. Musitano	100 163
11		
12		
13	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS	EVD.
14	1.1 through 1.21 12	13 34
15	7.1 through 7.11 10A and 10B	61 103
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

(Excerpt of proceedings.)

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, the government would call Jose Figueiredo.

THE COURT: Okay. If you approach the witness stand, please. I'll tell you when to stop, and then we'll place you under oath. Probably a good place right there.

J O S E F I G U E I R E D O, having been duly sworn as a witness, testified as follows:

THE COURT: Good morning.

THE WITNESS: Good morning, sir.

THE COURT: Please make yourself comfortable. Couple of things you have to do, and just a couple of preliminary instructions. When you testify, you are here, obviously, to testify for the benefit of the ladies and gentlemen of the jury. So, if you would direct your answers to them, that would be helpful. Probably the best way to testify is to speak at the microphone. You don't have to get right on top of it. Speak in a conversational tone. It's friendly, it will pick you up.

If you don't understand a question, ask that it be repeated.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Try to be as succinct as you can. If you can answer a question yes or no, please try to do it that way.

If there's an objection, wait until I rule on the objection, and then I will give you instructions. I'll tell you start your answer over again, complete the answer, wait for the next question, something along those lines, okay?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Let's find out testimonially who you are, spell your last name, state tour full name, please.

THE WITNESS: My name is Jose Figueiredo, F-I-G-U-E-I-R-E-D-O. I'm a member of the New York State Police assigned to CNET, which is a Community Narcotics Enforcement Team.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Your witness, Mr. Duszkiewicz.

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- Q. Mr. Figueiredo, how long have you been a member of the New York State Polices?
- A. About 27 and a half years.
- Q. What is your current rank with the New York
  State Police?
  - A. I'm a senior investigator assigned to our unit.

- Q. All right. And does that unit involve itself in narcotics enforcement activities?
  - A. Yes, it does.

2

3

4

5

8

9

13

14

15

16

- Q. Where is that -- your unit located?
- A. We're located in Syracuse, New York.
- Q. All right. And how long have you been assigned to that unit?
  - A. I've been assigned approximately 20 years in that unit.
- Q. All right. Are there other investigators, senior investigators that are part of that unit?
- 12 A. Yes, there is.
  - Q. Is there also a command structure or other members of the state police or in a supervisory position with respect to that unit?
    - A. Yes, there is.
- 17 Q. And what -- what ranks do they hold?
- A. We have a lieutenant who oversees our unit out
  of the -- out of our Syracuse office, central
  office. And then we have our command in Albany.
- Q. Okay. Are there CNET units in places other than Syracuse?
  - A. Yes, there is.
- Q. Where are they located, sir?
- 25 A. We have it in the Buffalo area, Albany,

Binghamton area, Poughkeepsie. I believe that's it, five of them.

- Q. All right. And do those units cooperate or communicate one to each other?
- A. Yes, we do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: What is a CNET unit?

THE WITNESS: CNET is Community Narcotics Enforcement Team, is the state police version of a narcotics unit.

THE COURT: Thank you.

### BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- Q. Let me call your attention, sir, to the 13th of March, 2009. Do you recall that particular day?
- A. Yes, I do.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. Was that day a Friday?
- 16 A. Yes, it was a Friday.
- Q. Now, in calling your attention to that

  particular day, as part of the CNET unit, do you

  and other investigators assigned to the unit

  develop information from private citizens?
  - A. Yes, we did.
  - Q. Had there been some information that day that quantities of cocaine were going to be come into the Syracuse area from a particular individual?

MR. MUSITANO: Objection.

THE COURT: Grounds?

MR. MUSITANO: Hearsay, Judge.

THE COURT: No, not on that basis.

Overruled.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

THE WITNESS: Yes, we did.

BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- Q. Okay. And did you and other members of your CNET team take some steps to intercept that suspected cocaine arrival?
- A. Yes, we did.
- 11 Q. What steps did you take, sir?
- 12 A. We set up a detail in the rest area on the
  13 Thruway to intercept it.
  - Q. All right. And were there other individuals
    who were following a vehicle into that rest area as
    part of the state police?
  - A. I don't recall if there were people actually following it. We knew what vehicle to look for.
    - Q. And, in fact, did a vehicle arrive at the service area?
- 21 A. Yes, it did.
- 22 Q. What type of vehicle arrived?
- A. It was a blue Chevy minivan with Massachusetts plates.
  - Q. All right. And did there come a point in time

that the individual that was operating that particular vehicle was arrested?

A. Yes, he was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Do you recall that individual's name?
- A. Yes. Willy -- I'm drawing a blank, I'm sorry, on the -- on the last name. Valerio.

THE COURT: Hold on one second.

Mr. Duszkiewicz, speak in the microphone, keep your voice up, please.

### BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- Q. I'm sorry, Judge. The individual that was arrested, did you take part in actually arresting him, or were there other members of the state police who took part in that arrest?
- A. I, along with other members.
- Q. All right. And as a result of the arrest, did certain members of the state police attempt to have some conversation with the fellow that was arrested?
- A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And did you take part the in that conversation, or did you have some other function?
- A. I had another function.
- Q. What function did you have, sir?
- 25 A. I was to assist in the seizing of any narcotics

that we recovered out of the vehicle.

- Q. All right. And did there come a point in time that you were advised that there was narcotics in the vehicle?
- A. Yes, I was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

23

24

- Q. And was that by a law enforcement officer?
- A. Yes, it was.
- Q. All right. And did there come a point in time that you or other investigators attempted to remove or locate evidence or cocaine in that particular vehicle?
- 12 A. Yes, we did.
- Q. All right. And was, in fact, quantities of suspected cocaine located?
- 15 A. Yes, there was.
- 16 Q. Where were they located?
- A. There was a compartment, if you're on the front dash of the vehicle, passenger side where normally the airbag would be, the compartment there, and the passenger side in the rear where they keep the jack in for changing of the tire. There is a compartment back there.
  - Q. The compartment on the dashboard, how was that particular compartment opened?
  - A. When I arrived it was already opened.

- Q. Is that a compartment that generally is available to be opened?
- A. No, it's not.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

20

21

22

23

- Q. Okay. The compartment in the back for the spare tire or the jack, is that something that's easily accessible?
- A. Yes, that is.
  - Q. And within -- within those compartments, were there quantities of suspected cocaine located?
- A. Yes, there was.
- Q. Okay. Did there come a point in time that some photographs were taken relative to the items of -of suspected cocaine that were contained in that vehicle -- the photographs taken?
- 15 A. Yes, there were.
  - Q. Who was responsible for taking the photographs?
    - A. I was responsible for taking the photograph.
- 18 Q. Did you, in fact, take a series of photographs?
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
  - MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, may I approach?

    THE COURT: Well, we have those on the system, right?
  - MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: We do, Judge. But I just want him identify a series of photographs.
- 25 THE COURT: All right. Why don't you put

1 them up on the screen, and work off the screen, 2 please. 3 PARALEGAL: There are over 20. 4 Photographs. 5 THE COURT: They're what? PARALEGAL: There are over 20 photographs. 6 7 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: I just want him to 8 identify the entirety. 9 THE COURT: Have you seen the photographs, 10 Mr. Musitano? 11 MR. MUSITANO: Judge, I'll stipulate to 12 them. 13 THE COURT: All right. Give us the numbers, and they will be received. And then you 14 15 can show them individually as you would like. 16 BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: 17 Investigator Figueiredo, did you take at least Q. 18 21 photographs with respect to the seizure of 19 suspected cocaine from the Massachusetts-plated vehicle? 20 21 Yes, I did. Α. 22 Q. Okay. And have you seen those photographs? 23 Yes, I have. Α. 24 And are they a fair and accurate representation

of photographs that you took on the 13th of March,

2009?

- A. Yes, they are.
- Q. Okay.

THE COURT: What are their numbers? Give us the numbers.

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Well, have they been marked Government's Exhibit 1.1 through 1.21 for purposes of this proceeding?

THE WITNESS: Yes, they have.

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Okay. Your Honor, I would ask first to go to Exhibit 1.1.

THE COURT: Yeah, but you want all of them moved into evidence  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{--}}$ 

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Yes.

THE COURT: -- and received?

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Yes, I understood there was going to be a stipulation.

THE COURT: But you have to move them.

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Well, Judge, I'm going to move what's marked Government Exhibits 1.1 through 1.21 into evidence.

THE COURT: All right. By stipulation, by agreement they constitute competent evidence for you to consider, ladies and gentlemen, if you choose to do that. So I will receive them into

1 evidence. You heard the testimony of the witness 2 that was preliminary to the admission. 3 (Government's Exhibits 1.1 through 1.21 were received into evidence.) 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. Next please. 6 BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: 7 May we have 1.1, Miss Prawel? 8 Investigator Figueiredo, do you see what's 9 depicted on the screen as Government's Exhibit 1.1 10 in evidence? 11 Yes, I do. 12 What is depicted in that particular photograph, 13 sir? 14 A picture of the blue minivan with the 15 Massachusetts license plate that we took the 16 subject out of. 17 Okay. And there appear to be two gentlemen in 18 the left-hand side of the photograph. Do you 19 recognize either of those individuals? 20 The one facing us, Investigator Pat Α. Yes. 21 DiPirro. The other one I'm not -- I can't tell 22 exactly who it is.

But this is the vehicle that was stopped at the

24 Warner Service Area?

A. Yes, it was.

23

Q. May we go to 1.2?

Investigator Figueiredo, what's depicted in Government's Exhibit 1.2?

- A. Picture of the license plate and of the van.
- Q. May we see 1.3?

Investigator Figueiredo, what's depicted in Government's Exhibit 1.3?

- A. That was the compartment on the front dash that was described earlier.
- Q. And there appears to be something sticking into an object there, or an item there?
  - A. Yes. That was placed in there when I arrived to the vehicle. It was open, but it was a hydraulic system. I was just afraid if it shut for any reason, so I placed that there so it wouldn't.

THE COURT: All right, before you move on, tap with authority your finger the compartment that you're talking about. You'll get an arrow on the screen, so tap it.

Okay.

# BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- Q. That's what you said was a hydraulic compartment?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. The other item was something that was placed in

- there to maintain the compartment's open condition?
- A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. May we have Government Exhibit 1.4?

  What is depicted in Government's Exhibit 1.4?
- A. That is the compartment in the back of the vehicle where I stated earlier where the other kilos were located.
- Q. And there appears to be an object in white, some sort of cellophane, perhaps several of those, in that compartment?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 THE COURT: Keep your voice up,
- 13 Mr. Duszkiewicz, please.
- 14 BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:
- Q. In fact, is that a compartment that's easily opened?
- 17 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Okay. And the items that are contained within that compartment, were those items that were suspected to be cocaine?
  - A. Yes, it was.
- Q. May we have Government's Exhibit 1.5?

  What is depicted in Government's Exhibit 1.5?
- A. Front picture of the compartment on the dash
  along with -- where you can see one of the wrapped

- kilos that we took out.
- Q. Okay. Government's Exhibit 1.6.
- 3 | What is that, sir?
  - A. Just a front picture of the vehicle's license
- 5 plate and the vehicle we had.
  - Q. 1.7?

2

4

6

7

- A. Again, a picture of the front of the vehicle, the compartment -- the motor compartment.
- 9 Q. Okay. May we see Government Exhibit 1.8?
- 10 A. Again, another picture of the compartment on the dash.
- 12 Q. And Government's Exhibit 1.9?
- A. Picture again of the compartment in the rear of the vehicle where we located the other kilos.
- 15 Q. And 1.10?
- A. We removed the kilos out of the compartment in the back, laid them out just to show what was in it.
- Q. And these are photographs that you took with respect to processing this particular vehicle for evidence purposes?
- 22 A. That is correct.
- Q. May we see 1.11?
  What is in that photograph, sir?
- 25 A. Again, the front compartment on the dash with a

kilo.

1

3

- 2 | 0. 1.12?
  - A. Just another picture of the front dash.
- 4 0. 1.13?
- A. Again, another just -- this time it was opened up.
- Q. Okay. And there appears to be something -well, some hinges and something else along the right-hand side of the compartment. What are those apparatus?
  - A. That is part of the hydraulic system.
- 12 Q. Okay. Can you tap where you indicated hydraulic system?
- 14 Okay. And Government's 1.14?
- 15 A. We moved the kilo over so we could get a picture of the whole thing.
- 17 | Q. Again, that's the front compartment?
- 18 A. Front compartment, correct.
- 19 Q. Government's 1.15?
- 20 A. That is the picture of the 3 kilos we took out of the compartment on the front dash.
- 22 O. As well as 1.16?
- 23 A. That is correct.
- 24 Q. Government's 1.17.
- What is that a photograph of, sir?

- A. It's a photograph of the radio. There is a -we were advised by the investigator who was
  interviewing that subject on how to actually
  operate the hydraulics. There is a series of
  things you're supposed to do to open and shut it.
  - Q. And Government's Exhibit 1.18?
  - A. That's another picture of the driver's side window latch, again as part of the series of how to get it open.
  - Q. All right. Government's 1.19?
- 11 A. That was just a picture of the compartment closed.
- 13 | Q. And 1.20?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

- A. That's one of our investigators going through -- a picture of him going through the different details on how to get it open.
- 17 | Q. And finally, 1.21?
- A. That is a picture of the kilos that we took out of the vehicle.
- Q. Now, the stop of this individual, this Willy fellow, you indicated occurred on the Thruway?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. At a rest area on the Thruway?
- 24 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. Some of the photographs appear to be

taken at a processing area?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. Yes. That vehicle was taken back to SP North Syracuse for processing.
- Q. How was it taken to State Police at North Syracuse?
- A. I drove that vehicle.
- Q. Some of the photographs are taken that appear to be inside a garage, those are the SP North Syracuse facility?
- A. That is correct.
- THE COURT: All right. What are you saying, SB?
  - THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, sir. State police station out of North Syracuse.
    - THE COURT: Okay. Again, Mr. Duszkiewicz, keep your voice up, please.
  - MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, I apologize. I'm sorry again. Maybe if this could be made longer, it would be easier.
- THE COURT: We're going to get you a lapel mike or something, because --
- MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: That might be fine,

  Judge. Thank you.
- 24 BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:
- 25 Q. In any event, Investigator Figueiredo, the

- items that you describe as seven packages of cocaine, what happened with respect to those seven packages?
  - A. When I arrived at SP North Syracuse, our state police barracks, I turned it over to Investigator Jack Weinerth.
  - Q. Did he have a particular role with respect to taking custody of evidence?
  - A. Yes, he did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

- Q. All right. And did there come a point in time that those exhibits, or at least a part of those exhibits, the cocaine, were submitted to a lab for analysis?
- 14 A. Yes, they were.
- Q. Okay. Does the state police maintain laboratories for the analysis of items of controlled substances?
- 18 A. Yes, they do.
  - Q. Are you familiar with a laboratory at Port Crane, New York?
  - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Where is Port Crane, New York?
- 23 A. Just outside Binghamton.
- Q. All right. Is that somewhat contiguous to the Syracuse area?

- A. It's about -- about an hour or so south of Syracuse.
  - Q. Okay. By way of an interstate?
  - A. Interstate 81.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

20

21

- Q. All right. And when items are submitted to the lab for analysis, are there lab reports that were returned to the state police, to the CNET Central Unit, with respect to an analysis that's conducted?
- A. Yes, there is.
- Q. And do they come back to the lieutenant in charge of the investigation?
- 12 A. Yes, they do.
- 13 Q. Are you familiar with a Lieutenant Mary Clark?
  - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Okay. To the best of your knowledge, did

  Lieutenant Clark receive a laboratory report with

  respect to the analysis of at least one of those
  kilograms?
- 19 A. Yes, I believe she would.
  - Q. Okay.
    - MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, if I may, I'd like to read at this point a stipulation.
- 23 THE COURT: Certainly.
- MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Reads as follows, Judge,
  the United States of --

THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you flip around so you can read it to the jury, please. Thank you.

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Stipulation reads as follows: "The United States of America by and through its attorney, William J. Hochul, Jr., United States attorney for the Western District of New York, Thomas S. Duszkiewicz, Assistant United States Attorney, of counsel, and the defendant, Steven A. Knighton, through his attorney Angelo Musitano, Esquire, do hereby stipulate as follows:

"That multiple kilogram quantities of suspected cocaine were obtained on March 13th, 2009, by the New York State Police and submitted to the New York State Southern Tier Regional Crime Laboratory.

"Number 2, if called to testify, Jackalynne M. Vimislik, a Forensic Scientist III at the New York State Police Southern Tier Regional Crime Laboratory, Port Crane, New York, would state that as part of her duties she received one compressed brick of cocaine, which was contained in a sealed condition and submitted as Lab Item 1A and Item 1B containing three compressed bricks.

"Number 3, further, that she opened Lab Item 1A and performed an analysis on the substance

contained therein. Based upon her examination of the substance, Miss Vimislik determined that the substance contained within Lab Item 1A was cocaine with a net situate of 1,002 grams. And thereafter she prepared a laboratory report marked as Government's Exhibit 4 to reflect the results of her analysis which are explained and demonstrated in her case notes, which are marked as Government's Exhibit 4A.

"Number 4, upon completion of her analysis,
Miss Vimislik resealed Laboratory Item 1A
reflecting the contents of the analyzed cocaine and
returned Lab Items 1A and 1B to evidence storage.
In December 2012, pursuant to the New York State
Police Southern Tier Regional Crime Laboratory
Evidence Destruction Policy, Lab items 1A and 1B
were destroyed.

"Paragraph 5, the parties further agree that Government Exhibits 4 and 4A shall be received in evidence."

That's signed today by myself, on behalf of the United States, and by Angelo Musitano, as counsel for the defendant Mr. Knighton.

THE COURT: So stipulated, Mr. Musitano?

MR. MUSITANO: So stipulated, Judge.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, what that means is that there's an agreement that the stipulation information is competent evidence for you to consider. And you are to consider not the written document or the reading by the attorney, but you are to view that information as if forensic scientist Jackalynne Vimislik was here personally and testifying and told you what was read to you by Mr. Duszkiewicz, which is the subject of the stipulation. Essentially, what she examined and what the results of her expert analysis was. That is the competent evidence. You may give it whatever weight you deem appropriate. THE CLERK: Judge, I've also marked that

as Court Exhibit 1.

THE COURT: Okay. And it is Court Exhibit 1.

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, based on that stipulation, I'm going to offer what's marked Government's Exhibit 4 and Government's Exhibit 4A, which are the subject of the stipulation.

THE COURT: Okay. That was substituted for 1 and 1A, correct?

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Those are the reports,

1 Judge? 2 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 3 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Those are the reports. 4 THE COURT: The reports. No objection to 5 4A and 4B, Mr. Musitano? MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: 4 and 4A. 6 7 MR. MUSITANO: I'm sorry? 8 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: It's 4 and 4A. 9 MR. MUSITANO: Judge, I have 4. I don't 10 have a copy of 4A. 11 I have no objection, Judge. 12 THE COURT: Okay. The reports will be 13 received into evidence. And as documents they will 14 be available to you as well, ladies and gentlemen, 15 when you start your deliberations in the case. 16 (Government's Exhibit 4 and 4A were 17 received into evidence.) 18 BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: 19 Investigator Figueiredo, other than processing 20 the van belonging to this Willy fellow, did you 21 participate in any further investigation on that 22 date, on the 13th of March? 23 Α. Yes, I did.

A. We then left and headed out to a mall here in

What did you do, sir?

24

Buffalo.

1

2

3

- Q. Okay. And when you say "we," who do you mean?
- A. I, along with other members of my unit.
- Q. Okay. And the individual that was arrested,
- 5 this Willy fellow, was he also transported to a
- 6 mall here in the Buffalo area?
- 7 A. I believe he was.
- Q. When you say you believe, is that because he was with someone else?
- 10 A. Yeah, he was with someone else. He wasn't with
  11 me.
- Q. Okay. Now, did there come a point in time that you arrived in the Buffalo area?
- 14 A. Yes, there was.
- 15 Q. Where did you go, sir?
- A. We went to -- I'm not from the area -- a large
  mall here just outside -- you could see it from the
- 18 interstate.
- Q. When you say the interstate, you're talking about the New York State Thruway?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- Q. When you arrived at that location, had you been aware that other members of the New York State
- 24 Police from the Western CNET operation were in
- 25 place?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Okay. How were you advised of that?
- A. Via radio or phone.
  - Q. Okay. And had you been advised prior to arrival at this mall area the nature of a vehicle that yourself or other investigators were looking for?
  - MR. MUSITANO: Judge, I'm going to object to the --
- THE COURT: Grounds?
- 11 MR. MUSITANO: -- form of the question.
- 12 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 13 BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:
  - Q. What were you looking for?
- 15 A. We're looking for a vehicle.
- 16  $\parallel$  Q. Okay. What some kind of vehicle?
- 17 A. I'm not sure at the time what kind of vehicle.
- 18 We're just told to head over to the mall, and they
- were giving us information -- would give us more
- 20 information as we got there.
- 21 Q. All right. When you got there, what did you
- 22 do, sir?
- 23 A. I mainly was a surveillance and when -- at the
- 24 area.
- 25 Q. Okay. Did there come a point in time that your

attention was drawn to a black Chevrolet Tahoe?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

MR. MUSITANO: Judge, I'm going to object to the leading.

THE COURT: No, I'll permit this over objection. Objection overruled.

# BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- Q. What did you observe, sir?
- A. When I arrived at the scene, members of the local police department had the vehicle surrounded.
- Q. Okay. And was there an individual that was at some point removed from the vehicle?
- A. Yes, there was.
- Q. Did you at all take part in removing the individual from the vehicle or having any contact with that individual?
- A. No, I did not.
  - Q. Okay. Did other -- did there come a point in time that other members of the state police had contact with either the vehicle or the individual that was removed from the vehicle?
- 22 A. Yes, there were.
  - Q. Was the vehicle moved?
- 24 A. Yes. It was moved out of there.
- Q. Okay. Where was it moved to?

- A. It was driven to the local police department.
- Q. And do you know how it was driven or who drove it?
  - A. It was driven by Investigator Ronald Yates.
  - Q. All right. And did you have occasion to be at the local police agency?
  - A. Yes, I did.

Q. And what, if anything, occurred in your observation at the --

THE COURT: When you say "local police agency," what are you referring to?

THE WITNESS: Like I said, sir, I'm not from the area. I wasn't sure the name of -- what department we were at. But it was a local, I believe, town or village police department.

THE COURT: Okay. We'll leave it at that, but explore it, Mr. Duszkiewicz. I think we need more than that.

### BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- Q. Was that police agency the agency for the town or village wherein the mall was located?
- A. It was.
- Q. Now, again, what, if anything, happened at that agency?
  - A. A search of the vehicle was going to be

- conducted by members who were there from our unit.
- Q. Did you have occasion to observe the search of the vehicle?
  - A. I was standing off to the side, yes.
- Q. And who was conducting the search of the vehicle?
  - A. Investigator Ronald Yates, and I believe investigate -- and no, it was Investigator Pat DiPirro were both at the vehicle.
- 10 Q. Okay. And who is Investigator Pat DiPirro?
- 11 A. He's an investigator out of our unit assigned to CNET Central.
- 13 Q. He's also from the Syracuse area?
- 14  $\blacksquare$  A. Yes, he is.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. And did you see what, if anything, was removed from the vehicle?
- 17 A. Yes. It was a package that contained currency.
- Q. Did you have anything at that particular point in time to do with the package that contained
- 20 currency?
- 21 A. No, I did not.
- Q. Okay. Did you see what, if anything, happened to it?
- 24 A. No, I did not.
- 25 Q. Okay. Well, let me call your attention to the

- second day of April 2009. Did you have any contact with the package on that particular day?
  - A. Yes, I did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

- Q. Okay. And what was the nature of the contact you had with that package on that date, sir?
- A. I, along with our seized asset person out of my unit, transported it to the Key Bank.
- Q. And what was the purpose for transporting it to the Key Bank?
- 10 A. To have it deposited in the seized asset account.
  - Q. What is the procedure employed by CNET Central with respect to quantities of currency in excess or believed to be in excess of \$5,000?
- A. We will not count anything over \$5,000. We take it to the bank.
- Q. Okay. The package that you saw as it was being taken to the bank, did it appear to exceed \$5,000?
  - A. Yes, it did.
- Q. All right. And did you and Investigator Smith go to the Key Bank?
- 22 A. Yes, we did.
- 23 Q. Had you done that previously?
- 24 A. Yes, we did.
- Q. Tell us the procedure in terms of taking it to

the Key Bank.

- A. We take it to the bank. We give it to a teller. Usually when they see us coming, one of the tellers will go to the side. He or she will then take possession of it, but it's always -- we always have constant visual on it. They will take it to counting machine. They will count it out for us, and then they give us the total, not only how much, but the denominations, how many hundreds, tens, things like that.
- Q. Okay. Is there a form that your agency, that is CNET Central, fills out with respect to the bank's counting a quantity of money?
- A. Yes, there is.
- Q. Okay. May we show the witness what's marked Government Exhibit 12?
- Investigator Figueiredo, do you see what's marked Government Exhibit 12?
- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. And what is depicted there as
  Government's Exhibit 12?
- A. That is the form we utilize when we confiscate any money, any currency.
  - Q. All right. There's -- about the middle of the form there is a signature or two signatures on the

- right-hand side of the form, about maybe two-thirds of the way down the page?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Okay. And do you recognize either of those signatures?
- A. That is my signature on top and Investigator Smith's below mine.
  - Q. There's also a printed name along the line to the left of that -- two printed names actually.
- 10 A. Yes.
- THE COURT: Tap the screen. Let us know where your signature is, please.
- THE WITNESS: My signatures is right here.

  BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:
  - Q. And are there printed names on the line to the left of your signature?
  - A. Yes, there is. This was the teller who assisted us, and then myself, along with Investigator Smith.
  - Q. Okay. And is that a particular form that was filled out at the bank on the 2nd of April, 2009?
- $22 \parallel A$ . Yes, it was.
- MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, I'm going to

  offer what's been marked Government's Exhibit 12.
- THE COURT: Any objection to 12?

MR. MUSITANO: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. 12 will be

received, and it may be published.

(Government's Exhibit 12 was received into evidence.)

# BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Investigator Figueiredo, with respect to Government's 12 now in evidence, there appears to be a breakdown with respect to the denominations of currency that were taken to the bank and counted at the bank, is that correct?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. How did those numbers get on the document?
  - A. Investigator Smith put them on the document.
- Q. And where did she, that is, Investigator Smith get the information to place it on the document?
- A. From the bank teller.
  - Q. Okay. And she contemporaneously wrote those quantities and the total amount of funds adjacent to each of those respective denominations.
    - A. Yes, she did.
- Q. And there's a total with respect to the amount of cash that was contained within that quantity of money?
- 25 A. Yes, there was.

- Q. What is that total, sir?
- A. \$41,000.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

16

17

19

- Q. Exactly \$41,000?
- A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Okay. Now, after that particular form was prepared, did there come a point in time that that -- those funds were deposited in the account?
- A. Yes, there was.
- 9 Q. May we see Government's Exhibit 13?

  10 Investigator Figueiredo, do you recognize

  11 what's marked as Government's Exhibit 13?
- 12 A. Yes, I do.
  - Q. What is Government's Exhibit 13?
- A. That is the bank receipt that was given to us
  by the teller after the deposit.
  - Q. That reflects a deposit of the funds that were taken to the bank that day?
- 18  $\parallel$  A. Yes, it does.
  - Q. It, again, bears a couple of signatures?
  - A. Yes. Mine, along with Investigator Smith's.
- MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, I'm also going to offer what's marked Government Exhibit 13.
- MR. MUSITANO: No objection.
- 24 THE COURT: All right. 13 received, no objection. It may be published.

(Government's Exhibit 13 was received into evidence.)

### BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- Q. Investigator Figueiredo, with respect to

  Government's Exhibit 13, again that also reflects

  the exact amount of currency that was deposited in

  the bank that particular day?
- A. Yes, it does.
- Q. And that amount was what, sir?
- 10 A. \$41,000.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

- Q. Other than processing the van initially and taking the money that was located in Buffalo to the bank, did you have any other role with respect to the investigation that was conducted on the 13th of March?
- A. No, I did not.

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Musitano.

Thank you, Mr. Duszkiewicz.

#### CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MUSITANO:

- Q. Good morning, Investigator.
- 22 A. Good morning, sir.
  - Q. Investigator, you indicated that you left the Syracuse service center, if you will, if I could call it that, and traveled to Buffalo in a vehicle,

- is that correct?
- A. No, sir.

area?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Okay. After Mr. Valerio was arrested, did you get in a vehicle and drive towards the Buffalo
- A. I took the vehicle back to our station at North Syracuse, then got in a vehicle and drove out to Buffalo. I did not leave from the service area.
  - Q. Okay. I apologize. And do you know whether or not other agents left from the service area, or did they also come back to the SP station?
- A. I don't recall who came back to the SP station.

  I know I went to the SP station.
- Q. You don't know whether or not Investigator

  Davis and Investigator Fox came back to the SP

  station with Mr. Valerio in their vehicle?
- 17 A. I would have to guess, so --
- 18 Q. Okay. If you know.
- 19 A. No.
- Q. Okay. And then you indicated that you traveled to Buffalo, is that correct?
- 22 A. That is correct.
- Q. Mr. Valerio was not with you in the vehicle, is that correct?
- 25  $\blacksquare$  A. He was not with me in the vehicle, no, sir.

- Q. If I could ask you without insulting you, do you speak Spanish, Investigator?
  - A. No, I do not.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. Are there any investigators in your unit that speak Spanish?
- A. Yes, we have investigators in our unit that speak Spanish.
- Q. Were any of them there that day, either at the SP station or at the service area near Syracuse?
- A. I don't recall if we had any Spanish-speaking people there.
- Q. Okay. Nevertheless, they're available, if need be, on a need-to-use basis, if I can use that phrase, is that fair to say?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. So they can be called in by one of your supervisors, is that correct?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. To your knowledge, were any called in that day while you were at the SP station?
  - A. I'm not aware if any were.
- Q. Okay. Now, if I could please have

  Government's 1.3, please, up on the screen.
- Now, Investigator Figueiredo -- am I pronouncing it correctly?

A. Figueiredo.

1

2

- Q. I apologize. Investigator Figueiredo, you
- 3 | identified what's depicted in Government's
- 4 Exhibit 1.3 as a compartment, is that correct?
- 5 A. That is correct.
- Q. Is that a compartment to conceal controlled substances?
- 8 A. That's what it was being used for.
  - Q. Can you conceal other items in a compartment
- 10 like that, for example, like cash?
- 11 A. I believe you could.
- 12 Q. You indicated you've worked for the CNET unit
- for how long?
- 14 A. About 20 years.
- 15 Q. Have you seen compartments like this
- 16 previously?
- 17 A. Yes, I have.
- 18 Q. Have you seen controlled substances stored in
- 19 those secret compartments?
- 20 | A. Yes, I have.
- 21 Q. Have you seen currency in there?
- 22 A. Yes, I have.
- 23 Q. Okay. Now, the purpose of those compartments,
- 24 would you agree with me, is to conceal the presence
- of the controlled substances in a vehicle?

A. Yes.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

16

- Q. Okay. And if I could, please, have
- 3 Government's 1.4.
  - You described that as the compartment where the jack would be, is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. And prior to the opening there, it was -- there was a panel that was screwed in, correct?
- 9 A. Yes, there was.
- Q. And again, screws would have to be removed in order to conceal what's in that compartment, is that fair to say?
- 13 A. No. It was not screwed in. It was -- it's

  14 like a plastic knob. So it wasn't where I'd have

  15 to go out and get a screwdriver to undo it.
  - Q. I apologize. There's some type of --
- 17 A. There is a locking mechanism.
- Q. Okay. And again, you have to unlock in order to remove and see what's inside, is that correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And again, that's to conceal controlled
  substances from anybody that's looking in the
  vehicle, correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Now you indicated to Mr. Duszkiewicz that when

- you traveled to Cheektowaga, you ultimately ended up at a local police station, is that correct?
  - A. That's -- after we went to the mall.
  - Q. Okay. After the mall, you then proceeded to a local police station, correct?
  - A. Correct.

4

5

6

7

- Q. And you observed a vehicle being searched there, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Chevy Tahoe, if you will?
- 11 A. I believe so.
- Q. You identified to investigators that were searching that vehicle?
- 14 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. Did you see anybody find a compartment in that vehicle?
- A. I don't recall seeing anybody finding a compartment in that vehicle.
- Q. Okay. And when I say "compartment," I'm
  referring to a compartment similar to the one
  that's depicted in Government's Exhibit 1.3 -- if I
  can have that up -- the one you described to this
  honorable jury with hydraulics?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- Q. You didn't see one of those in that Chevy Tahoe

- at the local police station in and around the mall area, is that correct?
- A. That is correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

21

22

23

24

- Q. And the currency you indicated that you saw being removed from that black SUV was not in a compartment, isn't that true?
- A. I'm sorry. I don't understand the question.
- Q. Sure. Let me rephrase it. The currency you indicated to Mr. Duszkiewicz you saw being removed from the SUV, correct?
- 11 A. Yes, correct.
- Q. You did not see that currency being removed from a concealed compartment like the one depicted in Government's 1.3, correct?
- 15 A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. Now you indicated to Mr. Duszkiewicz
  that the investigators who executed the arrest of
  Mr. Valerio at the service area, some of them went
  into the vehicle and searched it, is that fair to
  say?
  - A. I'm not sure who would have gone in and searched. I don't recall who actually went in and did the actual search.
  - Q. Okay. Do you recall seeing investigators in the vehicle searching it at the service area?

- A. There were, but I don't know who they were. I don't recall who they were.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall them taking anything else out other than the cocaine?
- A. We're talking about the vehicle at the service area?
- Q. At the service area, correct.

A. No. I just recall -- well, they didn't take anything out. They pointed it out that it was there. They called me. I go in and take a picture of it and take possession or it.

THE COURT: All right. When you're talking about the service area, are you talking about the minivan, or are you talking about the Tahoe?

MR. MUSITANO: Judge, there is only one service area that I understand, and that's at Syracuse. The other one was at the mall. Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: That's correct. The minivan -- if we're talking about the blue minivan, we're talking about the service area on interstate -- or the Thruway, New York State Thruway.

BY MR. MUSITANO:

- Q. That's 90, right?
- A. I-90, yes.

- Q. And the SUV was at the mall?
- A. The SUV was at the mall, correct.

MR. MUSITANO: Okay. Can I use those two distinctions, Judge, or do you want me to use something else?

THE COURT: I don't want you to do anything. I just want it to be clear, because it gets muddied, frankly.

# BY MR. MUSITANO:

- Q. Okay. Do you know whether or not Mr. -- strike that. Mr. Valerio was removed from the van, the blue van, that was parked at the service area on the 90 near Syracuse, is that correct?
- A. He was removed from the blue van.
- Q. And he was also searched after he was removed, correct?
  - A. He would have been searched. Part of our procedure.
    - Q. And any items of evidence on his person would have been removed also, correct?
  - A. That is correct.
    - Q. Okay. Now you indicated that at some point in time the cocaine that was seized in Mr. Valerio's

- vehicle would have been placed in a box and shipped, is that correct?
  - A. I didn't say it was placed in a box.
  - Q. I apologize.

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

- A. I turned it over to somebody else.
  - Q. You turned it over to Investigator --
  - A. Weinerth.
- Q. Weinerth, okay. And ultimately is that cocaine shipped to a laboratory?
- 10 A. It is packaged, and then one of our investigators will drive it to the lab.
- Q. And who would that investigator be that would drive it to the lab?
  - A. I would have to look at the evidence form to show who actually did the driving to the lab. I would believe it would be Investigator Evans, who is our evidence technician. But without actually looking at the form, I wouldn't be able to confirm it.
- Q. To the best of your recollection, it would be Investigator Evans, is that correct?
- 22 A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, after the laboratory conducts their evaluation and analysis, you indicated to Mr.
  - Duszkiewicz that a report would be generated, is

that correct?

1

2

8

9

10

14

15

16

- A. That is correct.
- Q. And an analysis report would be sent to an investigator -- or strike that -- a Lieutenant Mary
- 5 C. Clark -- or sorry, Mary A. Clark, is that correct?
- 7 A. That's correct.
  - Q. And she is the one responsible for receiving communications from the laboratory, is that correct?
- 11 A. Yes. It's mailed to our officer.
- Q. And if I could please have Government's 4 in evidence.
  - Now you indicated that the lab analysis report would be sent to Lieutenant Mary A. Clark, is that correct?
- 17 A. That is correct.
- 18 Q. Is this the type of analysis that would be sent to her?
- 20 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And on that form it lists the subject, is that correct?
  - A. Yes, it does.
- 24 Q. And does it list a subject in this case?
- 25 A. Yes, it does.

- Q. Who does it list?
- A. William Valerio.
- Q. Okay. And was Mr. Valerio ultimately charged in and around the Syracuse area?
  - A. I believe he was.
  - Q. Okay.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

MR. MUSITANO: Judge, if I could just have one moment please?

### BY MR. MUSITANO:

- Q. Now after you deposited the money -- or strike that. If I could go back to the local police station in the Buffalo area after you left the mall where the black SUV was located.
- 14 A. Okay.
  - Q. You indicated that you saw the currency being removed from the vehicle, correct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay. Did you take any photographs of it?
- 19 A. No, I did not.
- Q. Okay. And you indicated that after that
  process was done, you received the currency on
  April the 2nd, 2009, and took it to a bank, is that
  correct?
- 24 A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. And that's the proper procedure,

correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And you don't know as you sit there, do you, how long it took to accumulate that amount of money, do you?
- A. No, I don't.
- Q. You don't know where that amount of money came from, do you?
- A. No, I don't.
- Q. Okay.

THE COURT: Mr. Duszkiewicz, could we get a stipulation as to which mall we're talking about locally off the Interstate 90?

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, I'm willing to stipulate it's the Galleria Mall.

MR. MUSITANO: I'm willing to accept that stipulation that it was the Galleria Mall.

THE COURT: So that will be your point of focus, ladies and gentlemen. That's competent evidence for you to consider, if you choose to do that, in your deliberations, okay?

MR. MUSITANO: If I could just have a moment, Judge, I think I'm finished.

THE COURT: Sure.

BY MR. MUSITANO:

1 Just a couple more questions, Investigator. 2 Investigator, do you know where the currency went 3 after it was removed from the black SUV at that 4 local police station near the Walden Galleria Mall? 5 It was transported back to our office. 6 Q. Do you know whether or not any further analysis 7 was done of that money once it was received at your 8 office? 9 A. Once we packaged it up, no. 10 MR. MUSITANO: Okay. I have nothing 11 further, Judge. Thank you. 12 THE COURT: Did you say that the money was 13 deposited at the bank? 14 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 15 THE COURT: And then it was left there, or 16 was it taken back to your office? 17 THE WITNESS: No. Once we deposit the 18 money, it was left at the bank. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. 20 Any questions, Mr. Duszkiewicz? 21 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: No, your Honor. 22 you. 23 THE COURT: Investigator Figueiredo, you 24 are excused. Thank you very much. Everybody okay,

ladies and gentlemen? All right. Do you want --

MR. MUSITANO: Please.

THE COURT: Five minutes? Let's do this. We're going to go until about 12:45, and then we'll break for lunch, and we'll resume again at 2:00 o'clock.

Would you like to take a 15-minute break now?

Come back in about 11:45. We'll go for an hour,

break for lunch, get you back here by 2:00. That

would be plenty of time. It's a beautiful day out

there. If it's warm enough, we'll move out for the

afternoon and have everything outside. But take 15

now, okay?

(Jury excused from the courtroom.)

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, just to clarify each of the rest of my witnesses know that it's the Galleria Mall.

THE COURT: Okay. But it helps a little bit. Thank you. You know, now that picked you up really well. I don't know -- you're a distance away because you're so tall. You know, I'm wondering -- here do this.

(Short recess was taken.)

(Jury seated.)

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, welcome back. Please have a seat.

The jury has returned, roll call waived. 1 2 The attorneys and parties are back, present. 3 Your next witness, please, Mr. Duszkiewicz. 4 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, the government 5 would call Patrick DiPirro. 6 THE COURT: Thank you. Just step up a 7 little bit, and we'll have you sworn. 8 PATRICK DIPIRRO, having been duly 9 sworn as a witness, testified as follows: 10 Okay. Good morning. THE COURT: 11 THE WITNESS: Good morning. 12 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Witness, just 13 a very few preliminary instructions. You are here 14 to testify for the benefit of the ladies and 15 gentlemen of the jury, so direct your responses or 16 answers to them, please. 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 18 THE COURT: The microphone is pretty good. 19 It's friendly, but you have to speak at it. 20 THE WITNESS: Okay. 21 THE COURT: If you speak in a 22 conversational tone, it should pick you up pretty 23 well. When it comes to the questions, if you don't 24 understand a question, please don't answer it.

Just ask that it be repeated, and we will take care

of it from there.

Try to be as succinct as you can. If you can answer a question yes or no, please try to do it that way. If there's an objection, wait with your answer until I rule on the objection, and then I'll tell you what to do, either repeat your answer again, complete it from where you left off, or wait for the next question, something along those lines. Fair enough?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Let us know who you are.

State your full name, spell your last name, please.

THE WITNESS: Investigator Patrick DiPirro D-I-P-I-R-R-O.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Your witness, please, Mr. Duszkiewicz.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- Q. Mr. DiPirro, how are you employed, sir?
- A. I'm employed by the New York State Police.
- Q. And how long have you been so employed?
  - A. Little over 27 years.
  - Q. All right. And what is your current assignment with the state police?
    - A. I'm an investigator assigned to a unit called CNET, which stands for Community Narcotics

Enforcement Team.

1

2

3

- Q. Where are you located in your assignment?
- A. I'm assigned out of the central region, which
- 4 is located in Syracuse.
  - Q. How long have you been with that unit?
- 6 A. Since 2000, so 14 years.
- 7 Q. All right. And are there other investigators
- 8 and members of the state police that are part of
- 9 that unit?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. On the 13th of March, 2009, did you have
- 12 occasion to be with other members of the state
- 13 police in attempting to make an arrest along the
- 14 New York State Thruway?
- 15 A. Yes, sir, I was.
- 16 Q. And did there come a point in time that an
- 17 arrest of an individual with a quantity of cocaine
- 18 was made on that day?
- 19 A. Yes, sir, there was.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. Okay. Do you know that individual's name?
- 21 A. No, sir, I don't.
- 22 | Q. All right. Did you have anything specific to
- 23 do with respect to the arrest of that individual?
- 24 A. No. I was assigned as a surveillance member.
- 25 THE COURT: All right. Investigator

1 DiPirro, how is it you don't know that person's 2 name? 3 THE WITNESS: I can't remember right now. 4 I mean --5 THE COURT: Did you review your reports 6 before you took the stand? 7 THE WITNESS: I didn't do any reports, 8 sir. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 10 BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: 11 The individual was taken into custody by other 12 members of the state police? 13 Yes, sir. Α. 14 Okay. Did there come a point in time after 15 that arrest along the New York State Thruway that 16 you had occasion to go any place else that day? 17 Yes, sir, there was. Α. 18 Q. Where did you go, sir? 19 Α. We're assigned to respond out to Buffalo here 20 to the Galleria Mall. 21 And are you familiar with the Galleria Mall? Q. 22 Α. Yes, sir, I am.

A. I was born and raised in Cheektowaga, Village

How are you familiar with the Galleria Mall?

of Depew out here.

Q.

23

- Q. Okay. And did you, in fact, go to the Galleria
  Mall?
  - A. Yes, sir, I did.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. What was your purpose in going to the Galleria Mall?
  - A. I was assigned as a surveillance member, and we were attempting to locate a vehicle on which this other individual was supposed to meet.
  - Q. Had you been provided with a description of a vehicle that you were looking for?
- A. Yes, sir, I was.
- Q. Okay. Is there a CNET unit for the New York

  State Police in the Buffalo area?
- 14 A. Yes, sir. It's the Western Region.
- Q. To the best of your knowledge, were they aware of CNET Central's activity in the Buffalo area?
- 17  $\blacksquare$  A. Yes, we were.
- Q. Okay. The individual that was arrested in the
  Syracuse area, was he being transported to the
  Buffalo?
- 21 A. Yes, sir, he was.
- 22 Q. Do you know how he was being transported?
- A. I believe a couple other members were bringing him out here in of our vehicles.
- Q. And did you arrive in the Buffalo area with any

other investigators?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

20

25

- A. I was in my own vehicle, but I was with other members, yes.
- Q. Okay. And where did you go at first when you arrived at the mall location?
- A. We went over to the western side of the mall, over kind of near the Thruway, that side of the mall.
- Q. All right. And you indicated that you were looking for a particular vehicle?
- A. Yes, sir, we were.
- Q. Did there come a point in time that you observed a vehicle?
- 14 A. Yes, we did.

a row.

- 15  $\parallel$  Q. What kind of vehicle did you observe?
- 16  $\parallel$  A. It was a newer at the time Chevrolet Yukon.
- 17 | Q. All right. And where was that vehicle?
- A. It was in a parking area on the western portion of the mall, not directly in the front. Kind of in
- Q. All right. And where did you position your vehicle, if you did, in relation to that vehicle?
- A. I was what I would call one row to the north of where his row was.
  - Q. When you say "him," was there an individual

inside the vehicle?

- A. Yes, sir. There was a black male in the vehicle that I could see through binoculars.
- Q. All right. And could you see what the individual was doing?
- A. He was -- appeared to be just reclined back almost like he was waiting for someone.

MR. MUSITANO: Object to that.

THE COURT: No, overruled. Go ahead.

BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- Q. And how long did you maintain your observation of that vehicle and that individual?
- A. I believe it was less than 30 minutes. It was not a very long time.
- Q. All right. Did the individual in the vehicle move at all, either in the vehicle, or did the vehicle itself move during that period of time?
- A. No, sir, it did not.
- Q. Did there come a point in time that you received communication from other members of the state police or local police agencies relative to the surveillance that you were in position to maintain?
  - A. Yes, sir, I did.
    - Q. What information did you obtain?

A. We received information --

MR. MUSITANO: I'll object, Judge, to conversations. It's hearsay.

THE COURT: Yeah, at this point, sustained. Hearsay is what you're arguing. If there's an exception, I'll listen to you. But you can reconstruct and proceed from there.

### BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

23

- Q. Well, based on information that you received, did you take any action?
- A. I was just -- my action was to continue my surveillance and wait for further instructions.
  - Q. Did there come a point in time that individuals approached that vehicle?
- 15  $\parallel$  A. Yes, sir, there was.
- Q. Okay. And what type of individuals approached the vehicle?
- 18 A. Marked law enforcement individuals.
- 19 Cheektowaga police and other police agencies.
- Q. Okay. Had there been some sort of transmission that preceded that arrival by Cheektowaga police agencies?
  - A. Yes, sir, there was.
  - Q. Was that over your radio?
- $25 \parallel A$ . Yes, sir, it was.

Q. What was the nature that of the transmission?

MR. MUSITANO: I'll object, Judge. It's hearsay.

THE COURT: Well, to the form of the question, because the nature -- what was the nature of that conversation, that's not a proper question. As to form, sustained.

### BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

- Q. Did you see what those police officers did, if anything, with respect to either the vehicle or the individual that was inside the vehicle?
- A. Yes, sir, I did.
- Q. What did they do?
- A. They approached the vehicle and took the individual out of the vehicle, and just made sure there was no one else in the vehicle.
- Q. All right. And after the individual was taken out of the vehicle -- by the way, do you know that individual's name?
  - A. I know it now, sir. I didn't know it then.
  - Q. What name do you know now?
- 22 A. Mr. Knighton.
- Q. And where was Mr. Knighton taken, if anywhere, when he was removed to the vehicle?
  - A. He was taken to Cheektowaga Police Department.

- Q. What happened, if anything, with respect to the vehicle?
  - A. At that time we went up and we completed an inventory on the vehicle. We looked at it, and then we transported back to Cheektowaga Police Department.
    - Q. Okay. Who transported the vehicle back to Cheektowaga police?
    - A. Senior Investigator Ronald Yates.
- 10 Q. Okay. Is he part of your CNET unit in
  11 Syracuse?
- 12 A. Yes, sir, he is.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

19

- Q. Did you, along with the vehicle and along with Investigator Yates, also travel to the Cheektowaga
  Police Department?
  - A. Yes, sir, we did.
- Q. Did you have a purpose in going to the Cheektowaga Police Department?
  - A. Yes, sir, I did.
- 20 Q. What was your purpose in going there?
- A. My purpose was I was going to conduct

  photographs on the vehicle and assist with any

  search of the vehicle and anything else that would

  need to be done.
  - Q. Did you have, in fact, the capacity to take

some photographs that day?

A. Yes, sir, I did.

- Q. Okay. Did there come a point in time that Senior Investigator Yates began to examine or search that vehicle?
- A. Yes, there was.
- Q. And did you photograph the vehicle both prior to and during Investigator Yates's examination?
- A. Yes, sir, I did.

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, again I'm going to ask Investigator DiPirro to refer to 11 different exhibits. They're marked 7.1 through 7.11. I'm going to ask him to identify those exhibits and hopefully move them into evidence.

THE COURT: Let's see if there's any objection. Are there?

MR. MUSITANO: Move them in, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. 7.1 through 7.11 received into evidence, no objection.

(Government's Exhibits 7.1 through 7.11 were received into evidence.)

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: May we have 7.1?

THE COURT: And it may be published.

- BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:
  - Q. Investigator DiPirro, in front of you is

- Government's Exhibit 7.1. Is that one of a series of photographs that you took on the 13th of March, 2009 relative to the black SUV vehicle?
  - A. Yes, sir, it is.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

21

24

- Q. Okay. And the remaining photographs in that series are also photographs that you took?
- A. I believe. I only see the one photograph.
- Q. Had you reviewed the photographs before you testified today?
  - A. Yes, sir, I did.
- Q. Are they, in fact, the series of photographs that you took?
- 13 A. Yes, sir, they are.
- Q. Do they fairly and accurately reflect the vehicle as it was positioned and examined by Senior Investigator Yates?
- 17  $\blacksquare$  A. Yes, sir, it is.
- 18 Q. Okay. What's depicted in 7.1?
- 19 A. The back portion of the black Tahoe with the 20 license plate.
  - Q. All right. May we see Government Exhibit 7.2?
- A. It's the registration and inspection sticker on the black Tahoe.
  - Q. Okay. With respect to the registration sticker, that had a particular type of registration

sticker?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

20

21

- A. It's a temporary certificate of registration, sir.
- Q. What does that mean, sir?
- A. It means that the car was recently purchased, and they issue a sticker that's good for 45 days until you get your permanent registration sticker.
- Q. Is the date of the issuance of that temporary registration depicted on Government's Exhibit 7.2?
- A. Yes, sir, it is.
- Q. Where is it shown?
- A. It's right there, date issued March 2nd, 2009.
- Q. That was about 11 days prior to your encounter and photographing of this vehicle?
- 15 | A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. May we see Government Exhibit 7.3?

    What is depicted in 7.3?
- A. It's a front portion of the vehicle, along with the front license plate.
  - Q. And does that correspond to the same license plate that's depicted on the rear of the vehicle?
- 22  $\blacksquare$  A. Yes, sir, it is.
- Q. Okay. May we see Government Exhibit 7.4?
  What does that photograph depict?
  - A. It's the view opening up the driver's side

front door looking into the vehicle.

an image of someone in the picture?

- Q. Okay. And on the right-hand side of the photograph, about the middle, there appears to be
  - A. It's a reflection of a younger version of me.
  - Q. And that is taking, in fact, that photograph?
  - A. Yes, sir.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

- Q. Okay. And you say that's the inside compartment of the vehicle?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Are there some indication that there's cellular phones or charging wires for cellular phones depicted in that photograph?
- 14  $\parallel$  A. Yes, sir, there are.
- 15 Q. Where are they depicted, sir?
- A. There is a charging device right there in the center console, and then it looks like there are one or two phones up in the center console.
  - Q. Okay. That center console is in a closed condition as it's depicted in this particular
- 21 photograph?
- 22  $\blacksquare$  A. Yes, sir, it is.
- Q. With the cellular telephone atop that compartment?
- 25 A. Yes, sir. It has like a slot in it almost,

like a storage slot.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

19

- Q. Okay. May we see 7.5?

  What is that a picture of?
- A. Just a picture of the back side of the -- looking from the driver's side rear door into the vehicle.
- Q. You made an indication earlier that the individual in the vehicle appeared to be sort of reclining or laying back?
- 10 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- 11 Q. And the front seat of that vehicle is in what position, sir?
  - A. In the recline position.
    - Q. Okay. Government's Exhibit -- may we see Government Exhibit 7.6?
- 16  $\parallel$  What is depicted in that photograph, sir?
- A. It's the rear view after you open up the hatch area of the Chevrolet.
  - Q. All right. And 7.7?
- 20  $\parallel$  A. From the passenger side rear door looking in.
- Q. Okay. And again, there appears to be some sort of image in the left-hand side middle of the photograph?
- 24 A. Yes, sir. Reflection of myself.
  - Q. There appears to be two individuals depicted

- outside of the vehicle adjacent to the driver's door?
  - A. Yes, sir.

4

5

6

7

- Q. Do you recognize either of those individuals?
- A. Both of them, sir.
  - Q. Who do you recognize them as?
    - A. One is Senior Investigator Jose Figueiredo, and the other one is Senior Investigator Ronald Yates.
- 9 Q. All right. 7.8.
- 10 What is depicted in Government's Exhibit 7.8?
- 11 A. It's the view inside the passenger-side door of the front passenger compartment.
- Q. All right. Government's Exhibit 7.9. What is depicted in that photograph?
- A. This is a picture of the center console in an opened position of the Chevrolet.
- Q. Okay. And there appears to be perhaps a hand and an outer garment going across the top of the photograph and along the right-hand edge of the photograph?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you recognize to whom that garment and hand belong to?
- 24 A. Investigator Yates.
- Q. All right. And what is depicted in the --

inside the console?

- A. There's an orange -- or I'm sorry, a green like a chamois-type cleaning cloth and a plastic bag with money, and also a white container.
- Q. Okay. And in the sort of lower left-hand side of the photograph in the trays in front of the console, there appears to be a silver item on the -- adjacent to what I believe is an Tim Horton's cup. Do you recognize what that object is?
- A. It looks like cell phones.
- Q. May we have Government's Exhibit 7.10?

  What is depicted in Government's Exhibit 7.10?
  - A. Just a better view of the money and the center console area, storage compartment area.
- Q. Okay. And moving to Government's Exhibit 7.11, what is depicted in that particular photograph?
- A. Investigator Yates holding up the plastic bag that contained the money that was in the center console.
- Q. Okay. And the money itself as it's depicted in that photograph appears to be three rows of money with rubber band separations?
- A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. As well as in some sort of plastic container or

plastic bag?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

- A. Like a heat-sealed container.
- Q. There's also rubber banding to the outside of that item as well, is that correct?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Okay. Did you observe Investigator Yates opening that center console and removing that item that is what you describe as currency?
  - A. Yes, sir, I did.
- Q. Okay. And did you, yourself, other than photographing the item, have any occasion to examine the item?
  - A. I just photographed it, sir.
- Q. Okay. During the course of your 27 years with
  the -- with the state police, have you had occasion
  to see currency in that particular condition?
  - A. Yes, sir, I have.
  - Q. Okay. Under what circumstances generally?
- A. Generally it's drug-related money that they rubber band up in various denominations.
  - Q. Why would that be done?
- A. So that, you know, they're either going to be
  purchasing an item, and depending what the amount
  is, they know a thousand-dollars bundles or
  500-dollar bundles, however they set them up on how

much they're going to be paying for stuff.

- Q. But this particular package itself was sealed up?
- A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. So someone would have to cut into the package to remove a smaller amount other than the entirety of the currency?
- A. Correct. This was set up for one purchase.
- Q. Okay. Did you have any further investigation at that particular time?
- 11 A. No, sir, I did not.
- Q. Did you have any contact with the individual Steven Knighton?
- 14  $\parallel$  A. No, sir, I did not.

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: I have nothing further.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Musitano, your witness.

MR. MUSITANO: Thank you, Judge.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MUSITANO:

- Q. Good morning, Investigator DiPirro.
- A. Good afternoon, sir.
- 22 Q. I apologize. You're right. Do you recall
- 23 Mr. Duszkiewicz asking you questions about a
- vehicle that you had under surveillance at the
- 25 Walden Galleria Mall?

Yes, sir. Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

23

- You indicated that it was a SUV, is that correct?
- Yes, sir. Α.
- Do you recall telling Mr. Duszkiewicz on direct examination that you were surveilling or looking for a Yukon? Do you remember saying that on your direct?
- 9 It's the same type of vehicle. It's just made Α. 10 by GMC.
  - Now my question was, do you remember saying that on your direct examination, a Yukon?
  - Α. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Now, you indicated that you participated in 15 this investigation, if I understood you, from Syracuse to the Walden Galleria Mall, right?
  - Yes, sir. Α.
  - Okay. And you participated in or were present during the arrest of William Valerio, correct?
- 20 I was present during the takedown of him, yes, Α. 21 sir. I had no -- I had nothing to do with the 22 arrest of him.
  - My question to you was you were present when he was arrested, correct? You were there?
- 25 Α. Yes, sir.

- Q. You traveled all the way to Buffalo, correct?
- A. Yes, sir.

2

3

- Q. Did you prepare any reports at all?
- A. No, sir, I did not.
- Q. Did you prepare any reports detailing that you
- 6 were looking for an Yukon slash like a Tahoe?
- 7 A. No, sir, I did not.
- Q. Now, you indicated that when you observed the vehicle, you were using binoculars, is that
- 10 correct?
- 11 A. Once I parked, yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Okay. And that was how long -- strike that.
- 13 Let me back up. How long did it take you to get
- 14 from Syracuse to the Walden Galleria?
- 15 A. Two hours.
- 16 Q. Do you recall what time you left Syracuse?
- 17 A. No, sir.
- 18 Q. Do you recall what time you arrived at the
- 19 Walden Galleria?
- 20 A. No, sir.
- 21 Q. And you indicated that this vehicle you
- described as a Yukon on your direct was in the
- 23 western portion of the Walden Galleria parking lot,
- 24 is that correct?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And how many other portions are there to that particular parking lot area of the Walden Galleria Mall?
  - A. I don't understand the question.
  - Q. Sure. Let me rephrase it. Is there an eastern section to that part of the parking lot where you were located?
- A. I guess if you broke down the parking lot in north, south, east, and west, yes, sir.
- Q. How many entrances and exits are there to that particular location of that Walden Galleria Mall?
- 12 A. I don't know, sir. I haven't been there in 20 years.
  - Q. You don't know when that SUV arrived there, is that correct?
- 16 A. That is correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

- Q. You don't know who arrived in that vehicle, is that correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, after you went to the, I believe you said,
  Cheektowaga police station, is that correct?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. And that's where photographs were taken?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. Did you take any photographs there?

- A. I took the photographs at the Cheektowaga police station of the vehicle.
- Q. So, for example, if I could I have Government's 7.1.

Was that picture taken at the Cheektowaga police station?

- A. I'm not a hundred percent sure, sir. I don't know.
- Q. How about Government 7.2, was that taken at the Cheektowaga police station?
- A. Again, sir, I'm -- I would assume so, yes. I believe so.
  - Q. Well, you said you assume or you believe.
    You're not certain as you sit there, correct?
  - A. That's correct.

- Q. Now you indicated to Mr. Duszkiewicz that currency is packaged in \$1,000 amounts when it's used in drug dealing. Do you recall saying that?
- A. I said that they set it up in a denomination, depending on the type of drug dealer they are and type of drugs they sell. They set it up so they can grab either \$50 bundles, \$100 bundles, thousand. I mean, it depends on the amount of drugs you're dealing.
  - Q. You're not saying that only drug dealers

bundled their money up in thousand dollar denomination, are you?

- A. I'm saying it's a very common thing in the type of work that I do to see that money is rubber banded in certain denominations and set, so that purchases can be made, yes.
- Q. Okay. So denominations could be of 100, 250, 500, five -- 1,000, 5,000?
- A. They could be in any amount, sir.
- Q. And you're not saying only drug dealers do that with their money, are you?
  - A. I'm saying that through my training and experience as an investigator within a drug unit, that I see this numerous times.
    - Q. Okay. Now, again, let me ask you my question. You're not saying only drug dealers do that, are you?
    - A. I'm saying through my training and experience that's what I find in our drug industry, yes, sir.
  - MR. MUSITANO: Thank you. I have nothing further, Judge.
- THE COURT: Anything, Mr. Duszkiewicz?

  MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: No, your Honor. Thank

  you.
- 25 THE COURT: Okay. Investigator DiPirro,

you're excused. Thank you very much.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

R O N A L D F. Y A T E S, having been duly sworn as a witness, testified as follows:

THE COURT: I don't know anybody that moves faster or administers a faster oath than Miss Labuzzetta, ladies and gentlemen. Good afternoon.

THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.

THE COURT: All right. Couple of preliminary instructions, please. You are here to testify for the benefit of the ladies and gentlemen of the jury. So if you direct your answers and responses to them, that would be helpful, please. The microphone, very friendly if you speak at it. Keep your voice up at a conversational tone. That's all it really takes.

If you don't understand a question, please don't answer that. Just ask the attorney to repeat the question. Be as concise with your answers as you can. If you can answer a question yes or no, do that. Leave it, let the attorney follow up.

If there's an objection to a question, hold your answer, or stop where you are, then I'll give you instructions after I rule, and I will tell you

start your answer again, complete your answer, wait for the next question, something along those lines, okay?

THE WITNESS: Understood.

THE COURT: Thank you. Please state your full name, spell your last name.

THE WITNESS: Ronald F. Yates, Y-A-T-E-S.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Your witness, Mr. Duszkiewicz.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- Q. Mr. Yates, how you are you employed, sir?
- 12 A. I'm a senior investigator with the New York
  13 State Police.
  - Q. How long have you been employed by the state police?
- 16 A. Twenty-eight years.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

19

20

21

23

24

- Q. Okay. And what is your current assignment with the state police?
  - A. I'm currently assigned to CNET Central, and that's a unit within the state police that investigates illegal narcotics.
- 22 Q. How long have you been involved with that unit?
  - A. Twenty years.
    - Q. Did there come a time on the 13th of March,
      2009 that your unit had -- the unit you were

involved in had occasion to arrest an individual by the name of William Valerio?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Did you take part in the investigation leading to the arrest of Mr. Valerio?
- A. Yes. I was assigned to assist Investigator Davis on that day.
  - Q. That would be Investigator Douglas Davis?
- A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And with respect to the arrest of Mr. Valerio, did you do anything specific in that capacity?
  - A. I was assigned to a surveillance detail at the Thruway rest area, and I was not involved in the takedown. I was at an observation point some distance from where the takedown actually occurred.
  - Q. Did there come a point in time that you were advised that after the arrest of Mr. Valerio that there was a need to proceed to the Buffalo area?
- 20 A. Yes.
  - Q. How were you advised of that?
- 22 A. There was some meeting or radio transmission.
- I don't really remember at this point. But I knew
  that we were going to go to the Buffalo area where
  Willy Valerio was supposed to meet another subject

to sell cocaine to.

THE COURT: Were the -- where was the

Thruway rest area that you were talking about?

THE WITNESS: It was in Warners.

THE COURT: Where is Warners located?

THE WITNESS: Within -- it's in Onondaga

County.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

25

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- Q. Is that on the westbound lane?
- 11 A. It would be westbound.
- 12 Q. Westbound lane of the Thruway?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Would that be the first service area beyond Syracuse proper?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. Now, you indicated that you were going
- 18 to proceed to the Buffalo area, is that correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- $20 \parallel Q$ . Were you given a location to proceed to in the
- 21 | Buffalo area?
- 22 A. It was the Galleria Mall.
- 23 Q. Are you familiar with the Galleria Mall?
- 24 A. Just -- somewhat familiar with it.
  - Q. All right. And in what town or jurisdiction is

- the Galleria Mall located?
- A. Cheektowaga.
- Q. Now, did you, in fact, go to that location?
- A. Yes, I did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Did you arrive with any other individuals?
- A. There was a group of individuals that went down there. I think there was about a half dozen people from CNET Central, from the Syracuse area, that went down there. And there was CNET Western and Cheektowaga police officers in the vicinity of the Galleria Mall when we arrived.
- Q. What is the relationship between CNET Central and CNET Western?
- A. They are the Buffalo-based unit similar to ours.
- Q. All right. And was some contact made with respect to alerting the CNET Western officers or investigators that people from CNET Central were coming to the Galleria Mall area?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Were you directed to any particular location at the Galleria Mall?
- A. It was on the west side of the mall near the Macy's store.
- 25 Q. To the best of your knowledge there is a Macy's

store at the Galleria Mall?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Now, with respect to William Valerio, was he being transported to the Galleria Mall?
- A. He was in vehicle with Investigator Keith Fox and Investigator Doug Davis.
- Q. All right. And was information being fed to you and to other members of CNET Central concerning either whom you were looking for or what particular type of vehicle you were looking for?
- 11 A. Yes.
  - Q. What information did you receive?

MR. MUSITANO: Objection.

THE COURT: Grounds?

MR. MUSITANO: First of all, it's hearsay, Judge.

THE COURT: Well, depends on from whom, but I think to the form of the question it's not specific enough so that we know source. Objection sustained.

- BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:
  - Q. Did you receive a type of vehicle that you were looking for?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And what type of vehicle were you

looking for?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- A. A black Tahoe, Chevy Tahoe.
- Q. Were you provided with other information about who might be responsible for occupying or utilizing that Tahoe?
- A. Yes.

MR. MUSITANO: Object to the form, Judge.

THE COURT: Reput the question,

Mr. Duszkiewicz.

- BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:
- 11 Q. Who was using the Tahoe?
- 12 A. Steven Knighton.
- Q. Now, did you arrive at the location at the Galleria Mall?
- 15 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Okay. And did you observe whether other
  investigators either from CNET Central or from CNET

  Western were at that location?
- 19 A. I do know that there were other members there.
- 20 I don't remember at what positions they were.
- Q. All right. And did there come a point in time
  when you saw the black Tahoe vehicle?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Where was the black Tahoe vehicle?
- 25 A. It was parked in a parking spot about -- if I

- recall correctly, about halfway down the row,
  perpendicular to the mall proper.
  - Q. All right. And did you observe any activity in that black Tahoe?
  - A. I did not.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

- Q. Okay. Did there come a point in time that there was some indication to take down the individual in that location?
- A. Yes. There was a radio transmission that the Cheektowaga PD was going to -- or members of the Cheektowaga police were going to interact with that Tahoe.
  - Q. And did you observe that action?
- 14 A. Yes.
  - THE COURT: What does "taking down" mean to you?
- THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. They were going to have an encounter with the Tahoe and the person who was in the Tahoe.
- 20 BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:
- 21 \ Q. Did you observe that?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And was an individual removed from the Tahoe?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. That individual turn out to be Steven Knighton?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

- Q. After the individual was removed, that is

  Steven Knighton, from the -- from the vehicle, did

  you have occasion to have any contact yourself with
  the vehicle?
  - A. Yes, I did.
    - Q. And what was the nature of your contact?
- A. I was going to take the vehicle from the parking lot at the mall to the Cheektowaga PD, which is just a short distance from that spot, from the mall.
  - Q. Why to the Cheektowaga Police Department?
- A. That's where we were going to stage to further
  the investigation. And we wanted to get the car
  off the set just for a more secure area to search
  it, and just not to draw attention to ourselves
  there.
  - Q. And you, in fact, drove that vehicle to Cheektowaga Police Department?
  - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Okay. What, if anything, happened at the Cheektowaga Police Department?
- A. At the Cheektowaga Police Department I parked the vehicle in the parking lot. The vehicle was

photographed by one of our other members, and then a search of the inside of the vehicle was commenced.

- And have you had occasion to review the photographs with respect to commencing the -- or continuing the investigation by way of searching the vehicle?
- Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. May we have Government's Exhibit 7.7? In evidence, correct? THE CLERK: MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Yes, I'm sorry.
- BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:
  - Investigator Yates, do you see the exhibit, Government's Exhibit 7.7?
- Α. Yes.
  - What do you recognize that to be, sir?
- 17 That is the black Chevy Tahoe, and I see a Α. 18 picture of myself in the background.
- 19 Okay. And are you with any other individuals where you recognize a picture of yourself?
  - That is Senior Investigator Jose Figueiredo standing next to me and Investigator Jack Weinerth in the reflection in the rear window there.
    - And did you have occasion while or after these photographs were being taken to examine the vehicle

itself? 1 2 Α.

5

6

7

8

9

Yes.

- 3 And what did you do in terms of examining the 4 vehicle?
  - I went through the compartments and the center console of the Tahoe.
  - May we have 7.5? In evidence, I'm sorry. Do you recognize what's depicted in Government's Exhibit 7.5?
- 10 That's the interior of the Tahoe. Α.
- 11 Okay. And you indicated something about a Q. 12 center console?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- Is the center console visible in that 14 Q. 15 photograph?
- 16 Yes, it is.
- 17 Is there anything noticeable with respect to Q. 18 the center console?
- 19 There's a cell phone on top of the door of the 20 center console.
- 21 THE COURT: Point to what you're referring 22 to. Touch the screen.
- 23 THE WITNESS: Okay. Right there.
- 24 THE COURT: All right.
- 25 BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- Q. May we have Government Exhibit 7.4?

  Do you recognize what's depicted in

  Government's Exhibit 7.4?
- A. It's the interior of the Tahoe.
- Q. Does that also show a view of the console?
- A. Yes, it does.
  - Q. And again, is there a view of a cellular phone as you previously observed?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Anything else connected to a cellular telephone or to recharging a cellular phone?
  - A. In the dashboard cigarette lighter there's a cellphone charger, and connected to the cellphone charger, sorry, there's a -- there was another phone.

THE COURT: Tap it. You'll get an arrow if you tap with authority.

That's a wuss. Give us a little emphasis.

THE WITNESS: I'm hitting it pretty good. You got the touch.

THE COURT: All right.

## BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

Q. The area that is depicted with the arrow and the three dots, that's a charger connected to an additional cellphone?

A. Yes, it is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Okay. Now, did you have occasion yourself to examine the center console?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And if I may, can we have Government Exhibit 7.9?

What is depicted, Investigator Yates, in Government's Exhibit 7.9?

- A. That's my arm picking up a green cloth rag that was in the center console covering a quantity of U.S. currency that was contained in a plastic heat-sealed, vacuum-sealed bag.
- Q. That's within the center console?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. You had earlier tapped the screen to show a charger connected to a cellular telephone.

  Do you see a cellular telephone in a charger

connection somewhere in that photograph as well?

- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Can you point that out for us?
- 21 A. I'm going to hit it hard this time.
- Q. Very good. Thank you. Did you have occasion to advise -- by the way, did you know who was taking the photographs?
- 25 A. Investigator Patrick DiPirro was taking the

photographs.

- Q. Did you have occasion to have Investigator
  DiPirro take any additional photographs with
  respect to what you had located in center console?
- A. Yes. He took two more pictures I believe.
- Q. Can we have 7.10?

  What is depicted in 7.10?
- A. There is the center console with the cloth rag tossed aside and the money inside the center console.
- Q. All right. Can we go to 7.11?

  What is depicted, Investigator Yates, in
  Government's Exhibit 7.11?
- A. I'm holding the U.S. currency that I removed from the center console.
- Q. Is there anything distinctive or noticeable about the currency as you removed it from the console?
- A. It was wrapped in a vacuum-packed or heat-sealed plastic bag, and it was wrapped in bundles.
- 22 Q. Okay. And how was it wrapped in bundles?
- 23 A. Rubber bands around quantities of the currency.
  - Q. All right. And you say there was -- was it shrink-wrapped or heat sealed?

Yes. Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- And over the course of your career have you had occasion to see packages prepared in that manner?
- I have seen that before. Α.
- Ο. In what context?
- During execution of search warrants or other similar incidents with vehicle stops where subjects were transporting U.S. currency.
- After the currency that's depicted in Government's Exhibit 7.11 was removed by yourself from the center console, what did you do with it?
- I turned it over to our evidence technician, Investigator Jack Weinerth.
- You indicated that Investigator Weinerth was depicted in one of those earlier photographs?
- Α. Yes.
- Okay. And other than turning that exhibit over to Investigator Weinerth, did you turn over any other items that you retrieved from the vehicle?
- I handed over the phones that were also in the -- the one that was on the top of the center console and the one that was in the vicinity of the 22 23 cup holder.
  - You turned those over to Investigator Weinerth?
- 25 Α. Yes, I did.

- Q. Did you retrieve anything besides the cellular phones and the bundled-up currency?
- A. No, I did not.
- Q. Did you have any contact with Steven Knighton?
- A. I did not.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Did you have anything further to do with respect to the investigation as it occurred in Cheektowaga?
- A. I did not.
  - MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Thank you.
- THE COURT: All right. Why did you turn over the money and the cellphones to Investigator Weinerth?
- THE WITNESS: He is our evidence technician, and he handles most of the evidence that we recover.
- THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Your witness, Mr. Musitano.
- MR. MUSITANO: Thank you, your Honor.

  CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MUSITANO:
- 21 Q. Good afternoon, Investigator Yates.
  - A. Good afternoon.
- Q. Investigator Yates, if I understood your
  testimony correctly, you acted as a surveillance
  officer when CNET Central was at the service area

- on the 90 near Syracuse, is that correct?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

- Q. You were not involved in the William Valerio arrest, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And then from there did you go back to SP station, or did you go directly to the Walden Galleria Mall?
- A. I don't remember.
- Q. Okay. You indicated you don't remember. Did
  you take any notes while you were doing your
  surveillance or when you got to the Walden
  Galleria?
- 14 A. No, I did not.
- Q. After you finished your investigation in this case on March the 13th, 2009, did you prepare any reports?
- 18 A. No, I did not.
  - Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that you're just going on your best recollection and after discussions with your fellow investigators to testify here today?
- 23 A. And my review of the pictures.
- Q. Okay. And speaking of pictures, you indicated that you looked at Government's 7.1 through 7.11,

- is that correct?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

8

11

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Okay. When did you see those pictures? Before your testimony today, I'm sorry.
  - A. I saw them yesterday.
  - Q. Okay. And you reviewed them yesterday with
- 7 Mr. Duszkiewicz?
  - A. Yes.

correct?

- 9 Q. Okay. And Mr. Duszkiewicz was pointing out
  10 pictures and questions he would be asking you,
- A. I think it was mostly he was -- he handed me a group of pictures from the Cheektowaga PD and asked me what I saw in the pictures, yes.
  - Q. Okay. And for example, he showed you Government's Exhibit 7.5 in evidence.
- Can I have that up please?

And you identified that as one of the photographs that was taken of the vehicle from the rear passenger door looking into the driver's side, is that fair to say?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And in between the two seats in the rear you see big plastic bags, is that correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. You indicated that you looked and searched -or there was a search conducted of the compartments
  in that vehicle eventually at the Cheektowaga
  police station, correct?
  - A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

- Q. Were those white bags searched?
- A. I'm sure they were. Nothing significant would have been found, or they would have been seized.
- Q. Could you tell this honorable jury what was in those bags?
- 11 A. I don't know. It was not contraband.
- 12 Q. Okay. It was items that would be purchased in a store?
- 14 A. I believe so.
- 15 Q. A store are like Macy's?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. And I believe you identified for
- 18 Mr. Duszkiewicz that there was a Macy's in the
- 19 | Walden Galleria Mall?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Okay. And, in fact, you were close to
- 22 | the Walden -- strike that -- the Macy's at the
- 23 Walden Galleria Mall, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. Now, you indicated that you were taking

- photographs, if you will, of the Tahoe at the Cheektowaga police station, is that correct?
  - A. I wasn't taking them. I was aware that they were being taken.
    - Q. I'm sorry. I apologize. You were aware that they were being taken at the Cheektowaga police station, correct?
    - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. I believe I heard you say you drove the Tahoe to the Cheektowaga police station, correct?
- 11 A. I did.
- Q. Okay. And you see in Government's 7.5 that's still up on the screen that the driver seat is laid back, if you will, or tilted backwards, is that correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Is that the position the seat was in when you drove it there?
- A. I believe so. I don't believe I had moved the seat. I wouldn't have moved it.
- Q. And again, you said you believe, so you're not certain, is that fair say?
- A. I'm -- I'm fairly certain I did not move the seat.
- 25 Q. And again, you didn't prepare a report saying

that you drove the vehicle to the Cheektowaga
police station and didn't touch any of the seats in
the vehicle, correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And you indicated to Mr. Duszkiewicz -if I can please have Government 7.4 in evidence,
  please?

And in that photograph, in the middle, you said you see a compartment there which is the center console, is that correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Okay. And you indicated that you were present during the taking of the photographs, and you participated and actually conducted the search of the vehicle, correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Were there any other officers assisting you in conducting the search of that vehicle?
  - A. I believe Investigator Weinerth was.
- Q. That would be -- if we can call Investigator

  Weinerth the evidence technician?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. So when anybody found evidence, they would pass it to him, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Now, did you find any compartments in that vehicle other than the center console there?
  - A. I don't believe so.
    - Q. And I believe you said you've been with the CNET Central for 20 years, Investigator?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. I'm not suggesting you're old, but you've seen and participated in a lot of investigations, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. You've seen a lot of trap -- trap or trap doors
  12 in vehicles over your long career?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you find any of those traps or trap doors in what's depicted in Government's 7.4?
- 16 A. No.
- Q. And is that something you would photograph -or strike that.
- Is that something you would point out or search for when you're conducting a search of the vehicle?
- 21 A. If I found it.
- 22 Q. Okay. You didn't find any in this case?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. And the center console that you searched as depicted in Government 7.4 in evidence, that's the

- typical standard equipment you would get when you buy that type of vehicle, is that fair to say?
  - A. Nothing was unusual to me that I saw there.
  - Q. For example, can you explain to this honorable jury what would be unusual in a console like that that's not standard, if you will.
  - A. I don't understand what you mean.
  - Q. Sure. If that was a trap or some type of a trap, would you see something like hydraulics?
- 10 A. Okay. I understand. The center console -- the
  11 lid that opens up the center console to me looked
  12 like it was a standard feature of that car.
  - Q. There was nothing hydraulic about that, is that fair to say?
- 15 A. There was not any hydraulics.
  - Q. Now, if I could, please, Trish, have 7.11?

    Investigator Yates, you indicated that you removed that sealed package of U.S. currency?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

16

17

18

- 20 Q. And did you hand that package over to Investigator --
- 22 A. Weinerth.
- 23 Q. Weinerth?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25  $\square$  Q. The way it was?

- A. The way it was.
- Q. Did you cut it open?
- A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. In your presence, did any other officer cut it open?
- A. No.
  - Q. Okay. So while you're there at Cheektowaga at the police station, that package the way you removed it from the console was given to the evidence technician, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And is it proper protocol for the evidence
  13 technician then to transport that item to central?
- A. Well, I mean, depending what the circumstances
  were. He could pass it off to someone else as
  well.
- Q. But would that parcel be touched, cut, or open while you were at Cheektowaga?
  - A. No, it would not be.
- Q. Okay. So you could not tell what type of currency was in that until it was opened up, spread out, and counted, correct?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- MR. MUSITANO: I have nothing further.

  Thank you. Thank you, Investigator.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Duszkiewicz, any redirect?

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Yes.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- Q. Investigator Yates, Mr. Musitano just asked you if you had occasion to open up the compartment -- or excuse me, open up the package containing the money, is that correct?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And you indicated that you had not and did not, is that correct?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- Q. What's the procedure in the state police for counting money?
  - A. If it's like currency in excess of \$5,000, or what appears to be in excess of \$5,000, we bring the package right to the bank, and it's opened at the bank where the teller, in our presence, opens the bag and counts the money.
  - Q. And the bag would not -- who would be opening the bag at the bank?
  - A. Well, it would either be the teller or the investigator that brought it there, but it would be at the counter of the -- of the bank.
  - Q. Okay. And you also indicated that when

Mr. Musitano asked you if you searched any of the other items in the Tahoe, you indicated you hadn't searched the bags or you found no contraband in the bags?

A. Yes.

- Q. You indicated earlier that you seized some cellular telephones?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. Let me show, if I may, what's marked Government Exhibit 10A and B.

MR. MUSITANO: Judge, excuse me. I believe that's beyond the scope of the cross. I didn't talk about any seizure. I just talked about searches, Judge.

THE COURT: I think the door's been opened, because we're referencing the scope of the search. Objection overruled.

## BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- Q. Investigator Yates, what is Government's Exhibits 10A and 10B?
- A. They are two cellphones.
- Q. And is there some indication where those cellphones came from?
- MR. MUSITANO: Excuse me, Judge. If he's reading from something, I'm objecting if he doesn't

1 know. 2 THE COURT: Yeah, sustained. 3 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Do you recognize those 4 cellphones? 5 THE WITNESS: They appear to be the 6 cellphones. 7 MR. MUSITANO: Judge, I'm objecting if 8 they appear. 9 THE COURT: Sustained. 10 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Okay. Are there any 11 markings on the packaging with respect to those 12 exhibits, that is Government's Exhibits term 10A 13 and 10B? MR. MUSITANO: Same objection, Judge. 14 15 THE COURT: Sustained. MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Does your name appear on 16 17 the packaging material? 18 MR. MUSITANO: Objection. He's reading. 19 THE COURT: No, that's okay, if it does or 20 doesn't. You may answer that question. 21 It appears on there. THE WITNESS: 22 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Your name appears? 23 THE WITNESS: My name. 24 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Okay. Indicating in 25 what capacity, sir?

1 THE WITNESS: That I seized these items. 2 MR. MUSITANO: I'm objecting, Judge. 3 he knows based on foundation, first-hand 4 knowledge --5 THE COURT: Well --6 MR. MUSITANO: He's guessing, Judge. 7 THE COURT: This is probably refreshing 8 recollection I think under 612. I'll permit it 9 under that basis. 10 BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: 11 Q. Looking at that -- the packaging material for 12 Government's Exhibit 10A and 10B, does that refresh 13 your recollection as to the circumstances of your 14 acquisition of those items? 15 A. Looking at this packaging and the evidence tag 16 that's within, these are the phones that I seized 17 on March 13th. 18 Q. And as you indicated in your direct 19 examination, you turned those over as well as 20 turning over the currency to the evidence 21 technician? 22 A. Yes. 23 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, just for the 24 record, I'm going to offer what's marked 10A and 25 10B.

MR. MUSITANO: Judge, I have no objection in light of overruling the objection. THE COURT: Okay. 10A and 10B received. (Government's Exhibits 10A and 10B were received into evidence.) MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Thank you. I have nothing further. THE COURT: Anything further? MR. MUSITANO: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Senior Investigator Yates, you are excused. Thank you very much. THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I told you we'd break about 12:45.

gentlemen, I told you we'd break about 12:45. It's 12:43, so we're going to sit here for two minutes and then I'm going to let you go. I think that's close enough, right? Don't discuss the case. Be careful when you're outside. If you're going to go outside and have lunch, I think you know where the restaurants are. If not Chris, or somebody can help you. Enjoy lunch. Come back. We'll start at 2:00, or as promptly around 2:00 as we can.

Don't prejudge. Don't make up your mind.

Don't discuss the case. Thank you for your assistance, your cooperation. We'll see you at

1 what time? 2 THE JURY: 2:00. 3 THE COURT: Thank you. 4 (Jury excused from the courtroom.) 5 THE COURT: Mr. Duszkiewicz, is Valerio 6 your next witness? 7 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Yes. 8 THE COURT: Okay. We'll get him seated, 9 because he's in custody? 10 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Yes, he is. 11 THE COURT: We can take care of that 12 before the jury gets in. Thank you. 13 (Lunch recess was taken.) 14 (Jury seated.) 15 THE COURT: Please have a seat. It's good 16 to see you again. All right. Now if you want to 17 rise -- no. Okay. We're back on in the case of United States 18 19 versus Steven Knighton, 12-criminal-056S. 20 attorneys and parties are back, present. All of 21 our ladies and gentlemen, looking well fed after 22 lunch, are back here, roll call waived. 23 We are in the government's case. It is now 24 calling its fourth witness. Just some very 25 preliminary instructions to the witness. Good

afternoon.

THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.

THE COURT: Okay. And I think you're going to carry pretty well. The microphone's friendly, just so you know, but you have to speak at it. And you're here to testify for the benefit of the ladies and gentlemen of the jury, so if you could direct your answers, your responses to them, that would be helpful.

I give the same instructions to every witness, and that's basically try to keep your voice up at a conversational tone. If you don't understand a question, ask that it be repeated, and we'll get that all worked out. But don't give an answer that you don't really know if it pertains to the question. Try to be as concise as you can. If you can answer a question yes or no, answer it that way, stop, let the attorneys ask follow-up questions.

If there's an objection, wait until I rule on the objection before you answer or complete an answer. What I'll do is I'll tell you what to do next, either start your answer again, complete the answer, wait for the next question, something along those lines. Fair enough?

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 2 THE COURT: All right. And everything you 3 say has to be taken down by my court reporter, so 4 we all have to hear you. Speak into the 5 microphone, tell us your full name and spell your 6 last name, please. 7 THE WITNESS: William Valerio. Last name 8 is V-A-L-E-R-I-O. 9 THE COURT: Miss Labuzzetta, would you 10 swear the witness, please. 11 Did you say your first name is Will? 12 THE WITNESS: William. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. 14 W I L L I A M V A L E R I O, having been duly 15 sworn as a witness, testified as follows: 16 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You carry 17 pretty well. 18 Okay, Mr. Duszkiewicz, your witness. 19 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Thank you. 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: 21 Good afternoon, Mr. Valerio. How are you 22 today? 23 A. Good afternoon. I'm fine. 24 Okay. Are you currently in jail?

25

Α.

Yes.

- Okay. And in what state are you in jail? Q.
- Massachusetts. Α.

2

3

4

5

6

9

- All right. And what type of sentence are you Q. serving in Massachusetts?
  - Ten years. Α.
- Q. All right. And in addition to the sentence in 7 Massachusetts, has there been another sentence 8 imposed on you?
  - Yes. Α.
  - Q. Where was that other sentence imposed on you?
- 11 Syracuse, New York. Α.
- 12 Q. Okay. And the sentence in Syracuse, New York,
- 13 did that involve an event that occurred on March
- 14 the 19th -- excuse me, March 13th of 2009?
- 15 Α. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. You pled guilty to a charge in Syracuse 17 as a result of your being arrested that day?
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 Q. And your sentence was ten years?
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 Okay. What happened that day? Q.
- 22 MR. MUSITANO: Excuse me, Judge. What day 23 are we talking about, the 13th or the sentencing 24 date?
- 25 THE COURT: All right. Make it more

specific, please.

BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- Q. Well, again, you indicated that you're serving a sentence as a result of an event that happened on March the 13th, 2009, is that correct?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Duszkiewicz, still keep your voice up, please.

BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- 10 Q. Someone must have adjusted the microphone.
- 11 What happened -- again, that's the question -- on
- 12 | March 13th of 2009?
- 13 A. I got caught with some drugs on the highway.
- 14 Q. Where did that happen?
- 15 A. On the service area.
- 16 | Q. Service area where?
- A. I don't know the exact service area, but it was past Syracuse, close to Syracuse.
- Q. All right. And you said you got caught with some drugs?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Had you been in the drug business before that?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. What kind of drugs were you caught with?

A. Cocaine.

1

- Q. How much cocaine?
- 3 A. Seven kilos.
- Q. All right. And what police agency caught you with respect to that cocaine?
- A. Well, it was state police, and one being Doug
  Davis.
- Q. Okay. Do you know a state police investigator
  by the name of Doug Davis?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Is he in the courtroom today?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Where is he today?
- A. Sitting over there with the blue shirt and glasses on.
- Q. Okay. Is he the fellow that was responsible for arresting you?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. It's his arrest that resulted in your
- 20 conviction and ten-year sentence?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, you say that you were stopped at a service
- area. Did you have cocaine on your person?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Where did you have cocaine?

- A. Inside the vehicle. In the concealed compartment.
  - Q. In a concealed compartment?
- 4 A. Yes.

7

- 5 Q. Was there more than one concealed compartment?
- 6 A. Well, yes.
  - Q. How many concealed compartments were there?
  - A. Two.
- 9 Q. Where were the -- where were the sealed
- 10 compartments?
- 11 A. One was in front of the dashboard, and the second one was in the back of the vehicle.
- Q. Okay. And do you recall how much was in either compartment?
- 15 A. The front one had three, and the one in the back had four.
- 17 | Q. Okay. What kind of vehicle was that?
- 18 A. A Chevy Uplander.
- 19 Q. All right. And had you owned that vehicle for a period of time?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. How long had you owned the vehicle?
- 23 A. Maybe five, six months.
- 24 Q. Okay. Did you ever own a BMW vehicle?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. What kind of BMW vehicle did you own?
- 2 A. It was a 525. 1995.
- Q. Was there anything particular about that BMW vehicle?
- 5 A. Yeah. It was a vehicle with a trap.
- Q. When you say "a trap," what exactly do you mean?
- 8 A. Concealed compartment.
  - Q. Okay. And did -- the BMW had a concealed compartment?
- 11 | A. Yes.

9

- Q. And the Chevy Uplander, that also had a concealed compartment?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Why did these vehicles have concealed compartments?
- 17 A. To conceal drugs.
- Q. Had you been in the -- in the drug business before March 13th of 2009?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. How long had you been in the drug business?
- 22 A. For many years.
- 23 Q. Approximately?
- 24 A. Probably over two decades.
- 25 Q. Okay. Now, whereabouts are you from, sir,

originally?

1

2

3

- A. From New York City.
  - Q. Okay. Any particular part of New York City?
- 4 A. Bronx, New York.
  - Q. And have you always lived in the Bronx?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. Did there come a point in time that you stayed
- 8 in the Niagara Falls area?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. When was that?
- 11 A. The first time I came to Niagara Falls it was
- 12 around 1987.
- 13 Q. Okay. And how old were you in 1987?
- 14 | THE COURT: Mr. Duszkiewicz.
- 15 BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:
- 16 Q. How old were you in 1987?
- 17 A. Fourteen, fifteen, around there.
- 18 Q. Okay. And why did you come up to Niagara
- 19 Falls?
- 20 A. To make some money.
- 21 Q. At 14 or 15 how were you making money?
- 22 A. By selling drugs.
- 23 Q. All right. And how long did you stay up in the
- 24 Niagara Falls area?
- 25 A. For a few years.

- Q. Okay. Did you meet anybody up in the Niagara
  Falls area back in '87 or for those few years?
  - A. Yes, I met plenty of people.
  - Q. And did there come a point in time you met a fellow named Steven Knighton?
    - A. Yes.
    - Q. Do you know Steven Knighton?
- 8 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

- 9 Q. Is he in the courtroom today?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Where is he seated and what's he wearing today?
- A. He's seated right there. He's wearing a checkered shirt, black.
- 14 | Q. What's black?
- 15  $\parallel$  A. The sweater he got on.
- 16 | Q. He's wearing a sweater and a checkered shirt?
- 17 A. Yes.

18

19

20

21

- MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Your Honor, can the record reflect Mr. Valerio has an identified the defendant Steven Knighton?
- THE COURT: The record will reflect the testimony relating to the defendant.
- MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Thank you.
- 24 BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:
- Q. Let me go back to the 13th of March, 2009.

- Where were you coming from when you were stopped on the New York State Thruway?
  - A. From New York City.
  - Q. Okay. And what, if anything, had you been doing in New York City?
- A. My usual, you know, doing transactions and stuff like that.
- Q. Okay. The cocaine that you say was in the -three kilos in the front trap and four kilos in the back compartment, where did those come from?
- 11 A. New York.
- 12 Q. Okay. Source of supply in New York?
- 13 A. Yes.

4

- Q. What was going to happen, if anything, with those -- with those kilos?
- 16 A. They was going to get distributed.
- Q. Okay. Where were they going to get
- 18 distributed?
- 19 A. Syracuse, Buffalo.
- Q. Let's center on Syracuse first. There's seven
- 21 kilos all together in your vehicle, is that
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Is that good quality cocaine?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Was any of it going to be distributed in
- 2 Syracuse area?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Did you have an individual that you were
- 5 dealing with in Syracuse?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. How much of those seven kilos were going to the
- 8 individual in Syracuse?
- 9 A. One.
- 10 Q. Okay. Were you able to deliver that kilogram
- 11 to the individual in Syracuse?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. What happened?
- 14 A. Well, the individual set me up, and that's why
- 15 I got into the situation on the highway.
- 16 Q. What do you mean he set you up?
- 17  $\blacksquare$  A. He had me, you know, set up by state police.
- 18 Q. You mean you believe he told the state police
- 19 you were coming?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. And did that result, as best you know,
- in your arrest in Syracuse?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. What was going to happen with the other
- 25 six kilos?

- A. They was going to go to Buffalo.
- 2 \ Q. Okay. And to whom and where in Buffalo?
- A. They was going to go to Lemiel Jones and Steve Knighton.
  - Q. Was there a particular quantity that was going to this Jones fellow?
- 7 A. Yeah, four.
- Q. Okay. And if I do the math, that leaves two.
- 9 Where were those two going?
- 10 A. Steve Knighton.
- 11 Q. The fellow that's sitting over here in the courtroom?
- 13 A. Yes.

5

- Q. Had you been doing business with Mr. Knighton prior to March the 13th?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. How long had you been doing business with him?
- A. Maybe a year. Ten months, a year, around there.
- Q. How would you conduct business with him in ten months to a year before the 13th of March?
- 23 A. In person, by phone.
- Q. Well, I mean, you're in New York City. I take it the product's in New York City.

A. Um-hum.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. How would the product get from New York City to the Buffalo area?
  - A. Oh, I would either take it up there, or he end up coming down there.
  - Q. Okay. How often would you have contact with Steven Knighton?
  - A. Probably twice a week.
- Q. All right. And in those twice a week -- when I say contact, does that mean face-to-face meeting, or is that some telephone conversation?
- 12 A. Usually face to face, but telephone too.
- Q. Well, in the -- in the usually two times a week that you had face-to-face meetings, what happened?
- 15 A. We would conduct business.
  - Q. Tell us what you mean by conducting business.
- 17 A. We would exchange currency and the drugs.
- Q. You would get the drugs in the New York City area?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Where would the currency come from?
- 22 A. From Steven.
- Q. Okay. And in exchange for the currency, would you provide him with the drugs you obtained in New York City?

A. Yes.

Q. When you would meet him over this ten months or a year or whatever it was before March, how many -- how large were the transactions or what was the nature of the transaction?

THE COURT: I tried to adjust it upwards, Mr. Duszkiewicz. So keep your voice up, please.

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, I'm trying.

## BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- Q. What was the -- what was the nature of the transactions? What was the volume? How much was involved?
- A. Well, when we first started, we only started with like two and a half kilos.
- Q. Tell me about when you first started.
- A. Well, I met -- we had met -- I had met him in 2007 when I was visiting a friend, and he recognized me in the parking lot, and we exchanged phone numbers. And eventually I end up calling him and we end up meeting and talking about business.

  And he had offered me some money, that way I could be able to purchase some stuff and get things started.
  - Q. When you say -- when you started talking about business, at that particular point in time were you

- in business -- were you in the drug business?
- A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. This meeting you had was just a chance meeting?
- A. Yeah, because I ain't seen him in years. I was visiting a friend, and I happened to come out to the parking lot to get in my car, and he was in
- Q. Did you recognize him?
  - A. At first I didn't till he told me who it was.

that parking lot as well, and he recognized me.

- 10 And then -- because I ain't seen him in a long
  11 time.
- 12 Q. What did you recognize him from?
- A. Once he said Steve Knighton, I recognize him then.
  - Q. Had you been involved with him in any business transactions back in '87 or the two years or so you were up in Niagara Falls?
  - A. Yes. You know, nothing major, but it was small dealings and stuff like that, narcotics and stuff like that.
  - Q. Well, what was the nature of the business? Who was providing the narcotics? Who was paying for them?
- A. The same way I was doing with it him now. I would provide the narcotics, and he would pay for

them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

20

- Q. All right. And you see him again in 2007?
- A. Right.
  - Q. And tell me what the conversation was.
  - A. Now, I just, you know, he asked me what I was doing in town. I told him I came to visit a friend. And, you know, he insinuated like that's not all I was there for. I said, no, serious I just came to see a friend. And the last thing I remember that he gave me his number, and I told him I had to go back to New York, because I had to go to work. He told me next time I come up to give
- Q. All right. And did you come back to Niagara

  Falls?

him a call, that way we can sit down and talk.

- 16 A. Yeah, I ended upcoming back.
- 17 | Q. Approximately when?
- A. Probably like a month later, a month and a half later after that.
  - Q. Did you make contact with Steven Knighton?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. What happened when you made contact with him?
- A. Well, we met -- we met somewhere on the

  boulevard, in this restaurant on the boulevard. I

  just don't remember the restaurant. And, you know,

- I got out of my car, and he told me to get in his car. We started talking about how we could get drugs up there and start making some money and stuff like that.
- Q. Did he do anything in particular when you got in his car?
- A. Yes, he put up the radio a little bit, you know, just, you know, I guess he was paranoid or whatever the case may be.
- MR. MUSITANO: I'll object what he's guessing for.
- 12 BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:
  - Q. Well, he turned the radio up.
- 14 | A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

20

- Q. Did you have a sense why he turned the radio up?
- A. He told me, you know, he was just in case, you never know, it could be bugged, or whatever the case may be.
  - Q. Did he think you had a wire?
  - A. Well, I guess that's what he's insinuated.
- MR. MUSITANO: Judge, I'll object again if he's guessing.
- 24 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
- 25 BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- Q. Did you continue to have a conversation with him?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. What did you talk about?
- A. Well, you know, I told him that I haven't been dealing in the narcotic business for a while, and that I didn't have the money to really, you know, begin anything on consignment and stuff like that.

  He told me that money wouldn't be the problem.
- Q. Let me stop. What do you mean by "on consignment?"
- 12 A. Getting, you know, getting it from the connection without having to pay up front.
- Q. Now, you said you told him that you hadn't been involved for a while.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Why was that?
- 18 A. Because I was in prison.
- 19 Q. What were you in prison for?
- 20 A. Selling drugs.
- 21 Q. Okay. Were you released on parole?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Were you supposed to be selling drugs again?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. But you had this conversation with

- Mr. Knighton?
- Α. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

- What else was said during that conversation? Q.
- You know, we was talking about prices. Α. much, you know, a kilo was costing in Niagara Falls

and Buffalo, and how much they cost in New York.

- 7 All right. And after you discussed the prices, 8
- 9 You know, he told me, you know, how much would 10 I need to get things started.
- 11 Okay. And did you give him an amount? Q..
- 12 Α. Yeah.
- 13 Okay. What did -- how did he respond to you 14 when you said how much money you would need to get 15 started?
- 16 He said, "No problem."

what else happened?

- 17 How much money were you talking about? Q.
- 18 Α. Around 65,000.
- 19 Q. Did there come a point in time shortly after 20 that that you received \$65,000 from Mr. Knighton?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 Q. And what did you do with the \$65,000?
- 23 I took it back to New York City and purchased 24 drugs.
- 25 How much did you purchase?

- A. Two and a half kilos.
- 2 Q. What did you do with the two and a half kilos?
  - A. Brought them back up to Niagara Falls.
  - Q. And who did you give them to?
- 5 A. Steve Knighton.
- 6 Q. Now, how much did the two and a half kilos cost
- 7 you in New York City?
- 8 A. Say around 60,000.
- 9 Q. Okay. So the difference of \$5,000, would that
- 10 be your profit?
- 11 A. Yes.

3

- 12 Q. Okay. Why do you deal drugs?
- 13 A. To make money.
- 14 Q. Okay. And were you successful in spending
- 15 his -- 60 of his \$65,000 in New York?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Were you successful in getting two and a half
- 18 kilos of cocaine?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Did you deliver the cocaine to Steven Knighton?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. What happened after that?
- 23 A. Like probably two days later, he had called me
- 24 back up to let me know that he was finished and
- 25 needed some more work.

- Q. You say he was finished. What does that mean?
- A. That he got rid of the kilos.
  - Q. And he needed more work?
- A. Yeah. Well, we considered that, you know, product, which is cocaine.
- Q. So he needed a commodity, he needed something to distribute?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

- 9 Q. Okay. He called you up and said in a couple days that he needed more work?
- 11 | A. Um-hum.
- 12 Q. How was the "more work" going to get paid for?
- 13 A. By him providing money.
- 14  $\parallel$  Q. Okay. Did he, in fact, provide you money?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. How did you arrange to have him give you money?
- A. I would have to -- I have to wait till I get

  out of work on the weekend and go back up there and

  grab the money.
- Q. So you would go back up from New York City back to the Niagara Falls area?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Did Mr. Knighton, by the way, live in Niagara Falls?

- A. Excuse me?
- Q. Did he live in Niagara Falls?
- 3 A. Yeah. In the beginning he did, yeah.
  - Q. Did he move?
  - A. Yes.

2

4

5

6

- Q. Where did he move?
- 7 A. Buffalo.
  - Q. Okay. And did you know where he lived?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. It was in the Buffalo area, not the city of
- 11 Niagara Falls?
- 12 A. It was in the Buffalo area.
- 13 Q. Was there a second transaction that you talked
- 14  $\parallel$  about, the phone call a couple of days later
- 15 needing more work?
- 16 A. Repeat that again.
- 17 Q. Was there another transaction? Did you come to
- 18 Buffalo again after the phone call where Knighton
- 19 told you he needed more work?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. What happened if you remember?
- 22  $\parallel$  A. The same thing. I met up with him. You know,
- 23 we counted some money. And I headed back to New
- 24 York.
- Q. Okay. When you got back to New York, what did

- you do with the money?
- A. Purchased drugs.
- Q. Okay. Did you make a profit?
- 4 A. Yes.

2

3

5

8

- Q. I mean, you obtained the drugs for less than
- 6 the amount of money Mr. Knighton gave you?
- 7 A. Um-hum.
  - Q. After that transaction -- by the way, how much was it, if you recall?
- 10 A. Probably -- probably two.
- MR. MUSITANO: Judge, I'll object if he's guessing.
- THE COURT: No. You can cross-examine on that response.
- 15 BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:
- Q. When you say "probably two," you're trying to recall?
- 18 A. Yes.
- THE COURT: He said, "probably two." You may cross-examine on that. Objection overruled.
- MR. MUSITANO: Again, Judge, we have no duty, correct?
- 23 THE COURT: You have no duty. You may examine though, if you choose to.
- MR. MUSITANO: Thank you.

BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- Q. After that additional two kilos, did you continue to maintain contact with Steven Knighton?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

22

23

24

- Q. How often after that -- those 2 kilos did you again talk to Mr. Knighton?
- A. Probably three to four, five days later.

MR. MUSITANO: Again, object to the "probably."

THE COURT: No. Goes to the matter of weight for the jury in this case. Overruled.

- BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:
- Q. And that conversation five or so days later, what did that involve?
- 15 A. Same process.
- Q. Okay. Now, you'd said earlier that you needed \$65,000 or thereabouts to get started.
- 18 | A. Um-hum.
- 19 Q. Okay. What did you mean by "getting started?"
- A. You know, getting affiliated with the drug game again.
  - Q. Okay. And did that put you in a better position in terms of getting affiliated with the game?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Explain that for us.
- A. Well, you know, after -- you know, after some time goes by and you consistently buying product, and they seen that you, you know, you're making money, you know, things either come out more in your favor as far as you being able to request for additional drugs and things of that nature.
  - Q. Would you get drugs on consignment?
  - A. Eventually I was.
- Q. How long did it take you before you could get drugs on consignment?
- 12 A. Probably two to three months later.
- Q. Okay. And in that two to three months, did you maintain your relationship with Steven Knighton?
- 15 A. Yes.

8

- Q. Okay. So, he was part of your getting -qetting established.
- 18 A. Definitely.
- Q. Okay. When you got established, were you able to get drugs on consignment?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Would you have to pay for some of the drugs and get a few extra kilos on top of that?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Is that how the business worked?

A. Yes.

1

- Q. Okay. Would you still be able to make a profit
- 3 doing that?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. Did that eliminate the need for multiple
- 6 trips back and forth between New York City and
- 7 Niagara Falls or Buffalo?
- 8 A. Sometimes, yes.
  - Q. Because you would get stuff on credit?
- 10 A. Um-hum.
- 11 Q. Now, prior to March 13th -- that was Friday the
- 12 | 13th, correct, in 2009?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Had you seen Mr. Knighton earlier that week?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And what was the circumstances under which you
- 17 saw him earlier in the week?
- 18 A. Same thing. Just, you know, dealing with, you
- 19 know, what we going to do for the week? What are
- 20  $\parallel$  the projected sales that we might make for the
- 21 week, stuff like that.
- 22 Q. Okay. I mean, did you transact product with
- 23 him earlier that same week?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. Do you recall how much?

- A. I can't at this time.
- Q. Okay. In the -- in the period of time between you getting established with your connections in New York and this -- this week in March of 2009,
- how often would you see Mr. Knighton?
- 6 A. Once or twice a week.
  - Q. All right. And were you transacting business those once or twice a week in that period of time?
- 9 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

7

- Q. What was the volume? How much business were you doing?
- 12 A. It always depends. It used to be from -- it
  13 could be two. It could be five, six.
- Q. Okay. And how would you know that the product
  was -- was distributed, that it was time for
  another shipment?
- 17 A. He would just call me.
- Q. Okay. What was the nature of those phone calls?
- A. "I'm finished." You know, "Could you get up here?"
- Q. And during what part of the week would you -would you see him?
- 24 A. It was usually the weekdays.
- Q. Okay. Would you see him early in the week and

- late in the week?
- 2 A. Yeah.

- Q. Okay. You say that the amounts varied based on
- 4 what he wanted?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. How much business were you doing in a
- 7 given week?
- 8 A. It depends. One week I could be doing
- 9 10 kilos, and next week I could be doing 20.
- 10 Q. Okay. Now, you mentioned when I asked you
- 11 | earlier that of the six remaining kilos that were
- 12 in your vehicle on March 13th that four were going
- to some fellow by the name of Lemiel Jones?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Was he also a customer of yours?
- 16 A. Yeah.
- 17 | Q. Where is he from?
- 18 A. Buffalo.
- 19 Q. Okay. Does he have some relationship with
- 20 Steven Knighton?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Okay. When you say "No," what do you mean?
- MR. MUSITANO: I'll object to the form of
- 24 the question, Judge.
- THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- Q. All right. Do you know if Mr. Knighton and
- 3 Mr. Jones know each other?
  - A. They know each other, yes.
  - Q. How do you know that?
    - A. Because they spoke before in my presence.
    - Q. How would you -- you said that you would communicate with Mr. Knighton by telephone?
  - A. Yeah.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

- 10 Q. Any particular type of telephone?
- 11 A. Yeah. It was a beep -- bleep phones.
- 12 Q. Bleep phones?
- A. Yeah. Because they're the phones they like walkie-talkies.
- Q. You're making a gesture with your thumb and your hand?
- 17 | A. Yeah.
- 18 Q. Is that something special that a phone can do?
- A. Yes. It was almost like a walkie-talkie type
  of --
- Q. How do you -- how do you get ahold of somebody using that walkie-talkie?
- A. By hitting the button like if you was really going to -- like if you really had a walkie-talkie, you hit the button and it would alert the person

- that got the phone that somebody's trying to contact them.
  - Q. Do you have to put a number into the phone?
  - A. Yeah. You got to program a number into the phone.
- Q. How do you go about programming a number into the phone?
- A. You got to -- it's a -- it's like a memory -- when you got the memory card, you type in the number and you put a name on it and that's it, and it's programmed in the phone.
- Q. So you had a -- the memory card in your phone had a programmed number for -- for Steven Knighton?
  - A. Yes.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. Have a programmed number for other people?
- 16 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

- Q. Did Mr. Knighton have the same kind of phone that had your number programmed into it?
- MR. MUSITANO: Objection.
- THE COURT: If he knows, I'll allow him to answer that.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 23 BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:
- 24 Q. How do you know?
- 25 A. Because when I hit -- when I bleep him, he

- bleeps me back and we start talking.
- Q. Did you ever do anything to change that memory card?
  - A. Yeah. We changed the memory card very often.
  - Q. When you say "we," who do you mean?
  - A. The individuals I did business with.
  - Q. Okay. Did that include Steven Knighton?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

- 9 MR. MUSITANO: Objection. How would he 10 know?
- THE COURT: You can ask him, but he answered the question. I'll let it stand.
- 13 BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:
- Q. Did you see Mr. Knighton changing his memory card?
- 16  $\parallel$  A. Yeah. We did it all at the same time.
- 17 Q. You were together?
- 18 A. Most of the times, yes.
- Q. So he would program your number in, you would program his number in?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. You would do that with other people you were involved with?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, we talked earlier about your making

- a profit from delivering kilos of cocaine up to the Buffalo area, is that correct?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

21

22

- Q. About how much of a profit were -- were you making?
- A. What time period like?
- Q. Well, around, let's say, March 13th of 2009.

THE COURT: I'm not -- don't answer that question. I mean, profit can -- without more definition, can be inclusive of more than what we're talking about here. So, I'll sustain the objection. You can start again.

- 13 BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:
- 14 \ Q. Did the prices of cocaine change?
- 15 A. Yes.
  - Q. Why did the prices of cocaine change?
- A. There is a lot of reasons. Mainly is it might
  be a drought, meaning that there's a shortage of
  supplies for cocaine, in, you know, New York City
  or other big areas.
  - Q. What's the -- what's the least you've ever paid for a kilo of cocaine?
- A. Like in 2007 the least I was paying was at probably 24, 26, around there.
- 25 Q. All right. And let's move forward to, let's

- say, 2009. What were -- how were the prices in 2009?
- A. It had went up significantly from 38 to 40.

THE COURT: Mr. Duszkiewicz, raise your voice again, please.

## BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- Q. If you were to deliver a single kilogram of cocaine, would you put some points on it?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

20

21

22

- Q. When you say "points," what do you mean?
- 11 A. Points.
- MR. MUSITANO: Objection to the form,

  Judge. I think Mr. Duszkiewicz said points, not

  the witness.

15  $\parallel$  THE COURT: Sustained as to form.

## 16 BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- 17 | Q. How would you price the cocaine?
- 18 A. Well, I would start it, you know, with points.
- 19 And each point is considered a thousand dollars.
  - Q. Okay. How many points would you put on a kilogram of cocaine?
  - A. Anywhere from three to four.
- Q. Now, when you were stopped in Syracuse, did you talk to the police officers?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Did they advise you of your rights?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

- Q. And after they did that, did you indicate that you would cooperate with them?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. Did you, in fact, cooperate with them?
- 7 | A. Yes.
  - Q. Who did you primarily work with?
- 9 A. Doug Davis.
- 10 Q. All right. And did you provide Investigator
- 11 Davis with the information that -- where those
- 12 6 kilograms of cocaine were going?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14  $\parallel$  Q. What information did you provide him with?
- 15 A. Well, I told him I was bringing them to
- 16 Buffalo.
- 17 MR. MUSITANO: Objection, Judge.
- THE COURT: Grounds?
- MR. MUSITANO: Bolstering.
- 20 | THE COURT: No. Overruled. Reput the
- 21 question though, please, Mr. Duszkiewicz.
- 22 BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:
- 23 Q. What did you tell Investigator Davis about
- 24 those 6 kilograms of cocaine that you say were
- coming to Buffalo?

- A. Well, I told him I was transporting them to Buffalo.
  - Q. Did you tell him to whom?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

8

- Q. What did you tell him?
- A. I told him that I was bringing those drugs to
  Lemiel Jones and Steve Knighton.
  - Q. And after you told him who you were taking the drugs to, did you agree to do something else?
- 10 A. Repeat that.
- Q. After you told him, that is Investigator Davis, who the drugs were destined for, did you agree to
- do something else?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 Q. What did you agree to do?
- 16 A. To take them to the destination.
- Q. All right. And they found -- they found the drugs in your car, is that correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. How did they -- you said there was a trap compartment in the dashboard of the car?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. How did you get -- how did someone get in the trap compartment?
- 25 A. There's switches that you got to use to open it

- 1 and close it.
- 2 Q. Well, did you tell the police about the
- 3 sequence you'd have to use to open and close the
- 4 trap?

- 5 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. That's how they were able to remove
- 7 3 kilos from the dashboard.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You were providing information to the police
- 10 officers?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Other than agreeing to go to Buffalo to try to
- finish up the transactions, did you provide them
- 14 any other information?
- 15 A. I can't recall.
- 16 Q. Well, you told them two names?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Did you tell them anything else about either of
- 19 those two individuals?
- 20 A. That I was supposed to meet them.
- 21 Q. Okay. Did you tell them that they had the
- 22 push-to-talk phones?
- 23 A. Oh, yes. Yes.
- 24 MR. MUSITANO: Judge, I'm going to object
- 25 to the leading.

THE COURT: Yes. But, you know, I'll allow it right now under 611(a). Watch the leading though.

## BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- Q. Was any information provided relative to numbers that would access those push-to-talk phones?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Now, did you, in fact, start going to Buffalo?
- 10 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 11 Q. Okay. Were you operating your minivan?
- 12 A. At that point, no.
- 13 | Q. No?
- 14 | A. No.
- 15 Q. Well, how were you getting to Buffalo?
- 16 A. Doug Davis and his partners who was in one of their vehicles.
- 18 Q. That was a state police vehicle?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. While you were in the vehicle, did you do anything to cooperate or to assist either his partner or Investigator Davis?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. What did you do?
- 25 A. I was communicating with Steve Knighton.

- Q. How were you doing that?
  - A. Through the bleep phone.
- Q. When you say you were communicating with him, were you arranging a meeting with him?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q. Had there been a meeting arranged prior to
  those phone calls or those bleeps while you were in
  Investigator Davis's presence?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- Q. So your trip to Buffalo had been already arranged?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Where would you go when you came to Buffalo -and I'm talking particularly about Steven Knighton.

  Where would you go to meet Mr. Knighton?
- A. Usually we would go to either the gas station or a mall.
- 18 Q. Okay. And what particular mall?
- 19 A. The Galleria Mall.
- Q. Okay. Any significance going to the Galleria
  Mall?
- 22 A. It was next to the highway.
- 23 Q. How was that important?
- A. Well, it's -- for me it was important, because
- I usually just make a U-turn and head back to New

- York City right from there, instead of traveling around the local city area.
  - Q. What about this gas station? Where was the gas station located?
  - A. It was also by the Galleria Mall too.
  - Q. Did that also provide for just a U-turn?
- 7 | A. Yes.

4

5

6

8

- Q. Okay. Where had you earlier arranged to meet with -- with Steven Knighton? When I say earlier,
- 10 before you started talking with Investigator Davis.
- 11 A. In the mall.
- 12 Q. How did you refer to the mall?
- 13 A. You know, our usual place, you know.
- Q. So had you made transactions in a usual space prior to the 13th of March?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And had that usual space been at the Galleria Mall?
- 19 A. Yes.
- $20 \parallel Q$ . Any particular location at the Galleria Mall?
- 21  $\blacksquare$  A. I think like where the Macy's area is at.
- Q. And do you know where that is as far as the entire mall is concerned?
- 24 A. Not specifically, I can't. It's been a while.
- 25 Q. Okay. All right. But that's -- there is a

- Macy's at the Galleria Mall?
- 2 A. Yes.

3

- Q. And was that where you had arranged to meet
- 4 Mr. Knighton that day, that is, March 13th?
  - A. Yes.
- 6 Q. All right. Did you tell -- provide that
- 7 information to Investigator Davis?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. Now, I asked you earlier about a BMW
- 10 vehicle?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. You told me about that vehicle. Did you have
- that vehicle on March 13th of 2009?
- 14 A. No.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. What had happened, if anything, with that
- 16 vehicle prior to March 13th of 2009?
- 17 A. I had sold it to Steve Knighton.
- 18 Q. You sold it to Steve Knighton?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. Why did you sell it to Steve Knighton?
- 21  $\parallel$  A. He needed a vehicle with a trap in it.
- 22 Q. When had you sold it to Mr. Knighton?
- 23  $\blacksquare$  A. Maybe the fall 2008.
- 24 Q. Did Mr. Knighton know about the trap in the
- 25 vehicle?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. How did he know about it?
- A. Because prior to me using the Uplander, that's the one I was using to transport the drugs to
- 5 Buffalo.
  - Q. Okay. And how was the -- how was the trap activated to -- in the -- in the BMW?
    - A. Usually the same. There's the console in the middle, some buttons for the windows. You would use that. There is a button underneath the steering wheel that you have to click on to that.
- Q. Okay. Had you provided that sequence to Mr. Knighton?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Did he register that vehicle in his own name?

  MR. MUSITANO: Objection. Basis of

  knowledge, Judge.
- 18 THE COURT: Reput the question.
- 19 BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:
- Q. Do you know if he registered that vehicle in his own name?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. You don't know, or yes or no.
- 24 A. No, he didn't register in his own name.
- 25 Q. Do you know whose name he registered it in?

- A. He told me he was going to register it in his brother's name.
- Q. Now, relative to your preplanned meeting with Mr. Knighton on -- on the 13th of March, did you know what vehicle Mr. Knighton was going to be using?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. How did you know what vehicle he would be using?
- 10 A. He had just purchased a brand new truck.
- Q. Okay. How did you know he had just purchased a brand new truck?
- 13 A. Well, he told me about it.
- 14 Q. What kind of truck?
- 15 A. It was a Tahoe, black.
- Q. Had he had a black truck prior to purchasing the black Tahoe?
- 18 A. Yeah, he had a black pickup truck.
- 19 Q. Did you provide that information to
- 20 Investigator Davis?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, you were expecting to deliver 2 kilos of cocaine to Mr. Knighton, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Had you and Mr. Knighton arranged a price or an

- amount of money that was necessary to complete that transaction?
  - A. Yes.

4

8

9

- Q. How much money had you arranged with
- 5 Mr. Knighton?
- A. Well, the kilos was at 40 -- at the time I was charging him 41, \$42,000.
  - Q. Okay. And how much money were you expecting to get from Mr. Knighton on the 13th?
- 10 A. Around 41, 42,000.
- 11 Q. Did you provide that information also to -- to 12 Investigator Davis?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, you indicated that you started
  riding with Davis and his partner and other police
  officers, I take it, somewhere near you towards the
  Buffalo area, is that correct?
- 18 A. Yes.

Buffalo area?

- Q. Okay. Were you doing anything while you were riding from the Syracuse service area to the
- 22 A. Yes. I was communicating back and forth with 23 Steve.
- 24 Q. Okay. Why were you doing that?
- 25 A. So everything would seem normal.

- Q. What were you -- what were you -- what were you saying?
  - A. You know, "I'm running late, lot of traffic," and stuff -- you know, stuff of that nature.
- Q. Okay. And did you find out in those conversations with Steven that he'd be waiting for you at the same place?
  - A. Yes.

4

- 9 Q. Okay. And what exactly did he say, if you recall?
- 11 A. What did I say?
- 12 Q. What did he say?
- 13 A. That he's been waiting for me for a while now.
- Q. Okay. Was he inquiring how long it was going to take for you to get there?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Were you keeping him updated?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. How many times did you contact him?
- 20 A. Like 15, 20 times.
- 21 Q. Okay. Mostly time frame or mostly small talk?
- 22 A. Small talk.
- Q. While you were communicating with Mr. Knighton, were you communicating with anyone else?
- 25 A. Yeah. People from New York, just talking.

- Q. What about this fellow Lemiel Jones?
- 2 A. Yeah. I tried to call him, but he wouldn't
- 3 pick up.

- 4 Q. And how or why were you communicating with
- 5 people in New York?
- 6 A. They was just calling me, so I was just riding,
- 7 I was just on the phone talking to them.
- 8 Q. Okay. People in New York, are they -- are they
- 9 | Hispanic?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. What language did you use when you spoke
- 12 to the fellows in New York?
- 13 A. Spanish.
- 14 Q. You speak Spanish?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Your English is very good.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Were you born in the United States?
- 19 A. Yes.
- $20 \parallel Q$ . The people that you were communicating with and
- 21 speaking in the Spanish language, did they have
- 22 some connection to the drug business that you were
- 23 in?
- 24 A. Some of them did, yeah.
- 25 Q. Okay. Were you continuing to arrange deals or

- talk about product with those individuals?
- A. Yes, I would talk.
- Q. When you were having the conversations either with the Hispanic fellows or with Mr. Knighton, were you conveying the information that you were obtaining from Mr. Knighton to Investigator Davis?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q. How were you doing that?
- 9 A. By letting him know. And also I used to put it on speaker too.
- Q. So he could -- he could hear not only what you're saying, he could hear parts of what the other individual was saying?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Were they stopping the vehicle so you could quietly have that conversation, or were you just providing down the Thruway?
- 18 A. Proceeding down the Thruway.
- Q. Now, did there -- did there come a point that you arrived in the Buffalo area?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And where did you go when you arrived in the Buffalo area?
- 24 A. To the Galleria Mall.
- Q. Okay. And that's where you had told the

- 1 investigators that you were expecting to meet
- 2 Mr. Knighton?
  - A. Yes.

4

7

- Q. When you got there, what happened?
- A. We drove by, you know, Steve's truck, and Doug had asked me if that's the truck, and I told him
- Q. So you told the investigators that you were with that was the truck that belonged to
- 10 Mr. Knighton?

yeah.

- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. And then what happened?
- A. We took another spin around, and they spin me

  back around by the truck, and by that time they had

  Steve Knighton on the truck, and asked me if that's

  Steve, and I said yeah.
- 17 \ Q. Same fellow that's in the courtroom today?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. The actual cocaine that was in your van, did
  they have that in the car with you when you were
  driving to from Syracuse to Buffalo?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Were they actually going to deliver the cocaine to Mr. Knighton?
- MR. MUSITANO: I'll object, Judge.

1 THE COURT: Grounds? 2 MR. MUSITANO: How does he know what 3 they're going to do? 4 THE COURT: If he knows. 5 THE WITNESS: Say that -- repeat the 6 question. 7 BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: 8 Were they going to let you deliver the cocaine 9 to Mr. Knighton? 10 No. Α. 11 Now, after you pointed out Mr. Knighton's 12 vehicle and you again identified Mr. Knighton after 13 police had pulled him out of the vehicle, what 14 happened with you? 15 They took me to I guess one of the state 16 barracks by Buffalo. 17 Uh-huh? Q. 18 That's where they interviewed Steve. That's 19 all I can remember. 20 After they took you to the state police Q. 21 barracks by Buffalo, did you go any place else? 22 Α. Then they took me back to Syracuse. 23 Q. What happened in Syracuse? 24 They booked me. Α.

Q. When you say they booked you, what do you mean?

- A. I got arrested and charged for the drugs.
- Q. And you went to the judge?
- A. Yeah.

2

3

7

- Q. And he asked you -- did he arraign you on the charges?
- 6 A. Yes.
  - Q. So, those are the charges that you pled guilty to?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Those are the charges you're doing the ten-year sentence for?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Can we have -- Miss Prawel, can we have
- 14 Government's Exhibit 1.16 on the screen?
- 15 THE CLERK: In evidence?
- 16 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Yes, I'm sorry.
- 17 BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:
- Q. Mr. Valerio, do you see the image that's depicted on the -- on the screen?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. What do you -- what do you recognize that image to be?
- 23 A. The three kilos of cocaine.
- Q. Okay. And is it located in relation to anything that you're familiar with?

- A. Yes. The dashboard of the Uplander.
- Q. Okay. And is that the hydraulic trap that you
- 3 were talking about?
  - A. Yes.

4

- Q. Okay. May we have 1.9?
- 6 Mr. Valerio, do you see what's depicted in that image on the screen?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And where is that -- the image that's depicted there, where is that in relation to your vehicle?
- 11 A. That's the back area of the Uplander.
- 12 Q. And that's a little compartment door there?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. What's inside the compartment door?
- 15 A. Three kilos of cocaine there.
- 16 Q. You can see three kilos in the photograph?
- 17 A. Yeah.
- 18 Q. How many kilos were actually in that
- 19 compartment?
- 20 A. Four.
- 21 Q. Now, you indicated that in addition to this
- 22 Uplander, that you had a BMW that also had a trap?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Okay. Have you had occasion to see a video
- 25 displaying that BMW and its trap compartment?

1 Yes. Α. 2 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, I'm going to 3 offer what's been marked Government's Exhibit 2, 4 and ask if Mr. Valerio can identify what's depicted 5 therein and move it into evidence as well. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 MR. MUSITANO: I have no objection, Judge. 8 THE COURT: All right. To its 9 admissibility? 10 MR. MUSITANO: Absolutely. 11 THE COURT: All right. Two can be 12 received. You want to play that? 13 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Yes, Judge. It's about 14 five seconds. 15 (Government's Exhibit 2 was received into 16 evidence.) 17 THE COURT: While you're doing that, when 18 you refer to an Uplander, is that a minivan or a 19 SUV? 20 THE WITNESS: It's a minivan. 21 THE COURT: Thank you. This is now in 22 evidence. 23 (The above-referenced video recording was 24 played for the jury.) 25 BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- Q. Mr. Valerio, do you recognize what is shown in that particular video?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. What do you recognize that to be?
- 5 A. The black BMW.
- Q. Okaying. And the individual that was in the
  vehicle was making some -- doing some activity with
  a switch under the console and the switch for the
  back window?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. Is that a sequence that opened that 12 trap?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Is that the trapped vehicle that you sold to Steven Knighton?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. How much could be held in that particular trap?
- A. The most maybe like 10 to 12, depending how the kilograms are packaged.
- 20 Q. Okay. And you'd used that vehicle for a while?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And Mr. Knighton wanted it from you?
- 23 A. Yes.
- THE COURT: Now, when you say 10 to 12, you're talking about 10 to 12 kilos?

1 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2 THE COURT: How many pounds to a kilo? 3 THE WITNESS: 2.2. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 5 BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: 6 Q. A kilo is a term of art in the -- in the drug 7 business, is it not? 8 Α. Yes. 9 It's a metric weight for 2.2 pounds? Q. Yes. 10 Α. 11 Just to be clear, could we have Government's 12 Exhibit 1.1 on the screen in evidence? 13 Mr. Valerio, do you see the vehicle that's depicted in the middle of that photograph 1.1? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 Do you recognize that vehicle? 17 Yes. Α. 18 Q. What -- what do you recognize that vehicle to 19 be? 20 The Chevy Uplander. Α. 21 That's the vehicle you were driving that day, Ο. 22 that is, on March the 13th, 2009? 23 Yes. Α. 24 The sequence that the person in the video used

to open the trap compartment in the BMW, did you

- provide that sequence to anyone?
- 2 A. Yes.

3

4

5

7

8

12

- Q. Whom did you provide it to?
- A. To Clint Calloway.
  - Q. When did you provide it to him?
- 6 A. 2012.
  - Q. Okay. What were the circumstances under which you provided it to him?
- 9 A. I was incarcerated in Massachusetts at the time.
- 11 Q. And did you meet with Investigator Calloway?
  - A. Not that -- not -- not specifically for -- I met him prior to this when -- before he got the
- 14 BMW.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. Okay. And did you talk to him on the phone?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And in the context of that phone conversation, did you tell him how the trap could be opened?
- 20 A. Yes.
- THE COURT: Do you remember what the sequence was?
- THE WITNESS: Of the BMW?
- 24 THE COURT: Yes.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: What was it?

there is a switch in the bottom of the steering wheel, and it takes some time to really fiddle with your finger unless you know it's there. In order for the trap to work, you got to hit the switch towards you. And once you hit the switch towards you, then you use the back window on the left-hand side back window, you hit the button and then it starts coming up. And if you don't want it to move at all, that's when you go back into the switch and hit the switch towards the windshield, towards the dashboard. Then the car act normal. The windows will go up and down like nothing happened.

THE COURT: But it wouldn't affect the back seat?

THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

## BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:

- Q. In addition to the transactions that you were talking about going back or starting sometime after you reacquainted yourself with Mr. Knighton in Niagara Falls, did you see him at other places?
- A. Repeat that question, please.
- Q. After you reacquainted yourself, when you say

he came up to you in a parking lot in 2007, other than these transactions that you were talking about, did you see him anyplace else?

- A. As far as to do business or casual time?
- Q. Casually or to do business. Besides you driving up here with product, driving back with money.
- A. No, I would go to his house. We would go out to nightclubs and stuff like that.
- Q. Okay. Was that the quick turnaround that you were talking about the reason you used the mall, or were those trips unrelated?
- A. It was both, business and unrelated.
- Q. Okay. Where did you see him for nightclubs, et cetera?
  - A. He either would come to New York, or I go up to Buffalo.
- Q. Ever have any problem with getting the right amount of payment as far as Mr. Knighton is concerned?
- A. Periodically it happens.
- Q. What happens?

A. Maybe all the money's not there at the time, and I probably got to leave, so I just leave and make sure when I come back he probably has it.

- Q. Okay. This is when you come to pick up a certain amount of money?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

7

8

- Q. So there wasn't enough money to make up the order?
- 6 A. Right.
  - Q. Okay. What about when you're being paid. Is there ever any problems with getting paid?
  - A. No.
- Q. How was the money packaged when you would receive it?
- 12 A. Usually would be vacuum sealed.
- 13 Q. Okay. Why would that be?
- A. You know, disguise the smell of money and to,

  you know, to be able to compress it real good, that

  way I could put it away in the stash -- in the

  concealment compartments and stuff like that.
- 18 Q. So, I mean, it would come to you sort of sealed in a bag?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. Was the count always correct?
- 22 A. Most of the times.
- Q. Were there times that the count wasn't exactly correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. What would you do in those circumstances?
- A. I just informed him that it was short of money and stuff like that.
- Q. And then what would happen?
- A. Then he would reimburse me whenever we meet up again.
- Q. May I have 7.11?

THE CLERK: In evidence?

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Yes, I'm sorry.

- BY MR. DUSZKIEWICZ:
  - Q. Mr. Valerio, do you see what's depicted on the screen as Government's Exhibit 7.11?
- 13 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. What do you see depicted on the screen?
- 15  $\parallel$  A. The package of money I usually get.
- Q. Okay. Is that the manner in which Mr. Knighton would package up the money as payment for
- 18 quantities of cocaine?
- 19 A. Yes.
- $20 \parallel Q$ . I mean, packaged similar to this manner?
- 21 A. Yes.
- MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Can I have a moment,
- 23 Judge?
- 24 THE COURT: Certainly.
- MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Thank you, Mr. Valerio.

1 I have nothing further. 2 THE COURT: I'm not exactly sure what you 3 said, Mr. Duszkiewicz. 4 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: I'm sorry. I said, 5 thank you, Mr. Valerio. I have nothing further. 6 THE COURT: Thank you. Everybody okay? 7 Do you want a short break? Short break? Or you're 8 okay? 9 THE JURY: We're good. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Musitano, 11 cross-examination. 12 Mr. Valerio, you're doing okay? 13 THE WITNESS: Yeah. THE COURT: Okay. 14 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. MUSITANO: 16 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Valerio. 17 A. Good afternoon. 18 You and I met previously than today, is that 19 correct, Mr. Valerio? 20 Α. Yes. 21 I came to see you yesterday at the Erie County 22 Holding Center, correct? 23 Yes. Α. 24 I gave you my business card?

25

Α.

Yes.

- Q. Asked you if I could speak to you about this case, correct?
- 3 | A. Yes.

5

6

- Q. And I also advised you that I had attempted to contact your attorney, Mr. Dolan?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. And he did not get back to me, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And after I asked you if you would speak to me
  10 about this case, you indicated that you would not
  11 speak to me, is that correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, Mr. Duszkiewicz asked you if you were presently incarcerated, do you remember that?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And you said you're incarcerated in
- 17 Massachusetts?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. That's in a state prison there, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. You've been there since approximately August
- 22 the 21st, 2009, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And that's because you were arrested in

  Massachusetts on August the 21st, 2009, correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

18

19

20

24

- Q. And you were arrested in Massachusetts with three kilograms of cocaine, correct?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. And that was obviously after March 13th, 2009, correct?
- 7 | A. Yes.
  - Q. And that day you were arrested with seven kilos of cocaine, is that correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So just so that we understand with
  respect to your sentences -- and we'll get to them
  a little bit more in depth -- when you were
  sentenced in Massachusetts, that was imposed on
  you, or you were sentenced October 26, 2009,
  correct?
- 17 A. Repeat that again.
  - Q. Sure. You were first sentenced in Massachusetts on October 26th, 2009, correct?
  - A. Right.
- Q. That was for your arrest in Massachusetts in -or strike that -- in August of 2009, correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
  - Q. And then after that sentence was imposed on you in Massachusetts, you came to New York State,

correct?

1

- 2 A. Yes.
  - Q. And in New York State you were sentenced also
- 4 to ten years, correct?
- 5 A. Yeah.
- 6 Q. And that occurred on February the 22nd, 2011,
- 7 correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And that sentence was run concurrent with your
- 10 Massachusetts sentence, correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. That's even -- even though you committed the
- 13 New York State crime first, correct?
- 14 A. Right.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. And then you committed the Massachusetts crime,
- 16 correct?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. But before you were sentenced in New York State
- on February 11th -- or strike that -- on
- 20 February 22nd, 2011, you had met with agents,
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. Repeat that again.
- 23 Q. Sure. Before you were sentenced in February
- 24 of 2011, you met with agents at your Massachusetts
- jail in November of 2010, correct?

- A. Yeah. But not the current jail I'm in.
- Q. Okay.

3

4

5

7

- A. Okay.
- Q. But you met with agents before you were sentenced in New York State, correct?
- 6 A. Yes. Yes.
  - Q. In fact, one of the those agents you met with is Investigator Calloway, correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. He's seated here in the courtroom?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. And you met with him and another agent from the
  DEA by the name of Gene Nana, do you remember that
- 14 | individual?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And you gave them information that day,
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. They took handwritten notes from you, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. And then after that meeting in October,
- 22 about four -- strike that -- about a year and four
- 23 months later is when you get sentenced in New York
- 24 State, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And those sentences are to run concurrent, at the same time, correct?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. You're familiar with New York State law?
- 5 A. Yes.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

- Q. Okay. And you knew with the A-1 felony conviction that you were facing, you could get up to 24 years, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And it could also be sentenced and imposed consecutive to your Massachusetts sentence, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
  - Q. But you didn't get that sentence, correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. You got a concurrent sentence?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Which was imposed after you spoke to agents, right?
- MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Objection to the suggestion the chronology has something to do with it.
- 23 THE COURT: Well, that may be your

  24 interpretation. I'm going to let the question

  25 stand. There was no answer to it, so it's just

dangling out there. If you move to strike it, I'll strike it.

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: I will, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Disregard that last question, ladies and gentlemen, because there is not an answer to it. And the questions are never evidence. It's just the answers of the witnesses.

## BY MR. MUSITANO:

- Q. So it's fair to say, Mr. Valerio, that you were sentenced in New York State after you met with Agent Calloway?
- 13 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

19

20

- Q. Now, you indicated to Mr. Duszkiewicz that after you had traveled to the Walden Galleria

  Mall -- do you remember that part of your direct examination?
- 18 A. Yes.
  - Q. You traveled to the Walden Galleria Mall with Investigator Davis, correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. That's the individual you referred to as Doug, is that correct?
- 24 A. Doug Davis, yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. And there was also another investigator

- in the vehicle?
- 2 A. Yes.

3

4

- Q. By the name of Investigator Fox?
- A. I believe so.
  - Q. And he was the driver, is that correct?
- 6 A. I believe so.
- Q. Okay. And Investigator Doug Davis was the passenger sitting in the front, correct?
- 9 A. I believe he was -- he might have been next to
  10 me or the front. I can't remember. I can't
  11 recall.
- Q. Sure. Sure. And then you indicated after you were finished at the Walden Galleria Mall, you were taken back to Syracuse, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then you appeared in front of a judge?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. That was a town justice by the name of Justice Carey?
- 21 A. I believe so.
- Q. Okay. And the judge remanded you in custody, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Because he couldn't set bail on you, correct?

A. Right.

Q. Because you had more than two prior drug felony convictions, right?

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Objection. Your Honor. That's not the only circumstance. It's an improper question.

MR. MUSITANO: Judge, it's the jurisdiction of the court. It's limited by two felony convictions or more.

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: It is, Judge, but also the nature of the charge, a Class A felony, does not merit bail in a local court. It's a misstatement, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  MUSITANO: Judge, I'll rephrase the question.

THE COURT: Please.

## BY MR. MUSITANO:

- Q. One of the reasons the judge couldn't set bail on you was because you were charged with an A-1 felony, correct?
- A. I don't recall him telling me that.
- Q. Okay. Another reason is because you did have two felony convictions. You knew that though, right?

- A. He didn't mention that either.
- Q. And were you detained for a long period of
- 3 time? In Syracuse?
  - A. As far as?

- 5 Q. Well, let me ask you this. After that first
- 6 appearance on March the 13th, did you reappear
- 7 again in court?
- 8 A. No. No, I think my attorney did. I can't
- 9 remember.
- 10 Q. Did you reappear in court on March 20th, 2009?
- 11 A. March 20th.
- 12 Q. A week after you were arrested, you appeared in
- another court in Syracuse, correct?
- 14 A. I can't remember that day.
- 15 Q. Sure. And you -- but you remember being
- 16 released that day, correct?
- 17  $\blacksquare$  A. On March 9th?
- 18 Q. March 20th.
- 19 A. March 20th?
- 20 Q. Yes.
- 21 A. I guess so, yeah.
- 22 Q. Okay. Because you not only were released, but
- 23 you were given your Uplander to drive back,
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. And you were also given four telephones,
- 2 correct?

4

- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And then you went back to the Bronx,
- 5 correct?
  - A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And were you living in the Bronx at that point
- 8 in time, in March of 2009, or were you living in
- 9 Massachusetts?
- 10 A. I was both.
- 11 Q. So you were living both in Massachusetts and
- 12 both in the Bronx, New York?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14  $\parallel$  Q. And after you left Syracuse on March the 20th,
- 15  $\parallel$  you had met previously with Investigator Davis,
- 16 correct?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And you had agreed, you told Mr. Duszkiewicz,
- 19 to cooperate with him, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And after you were released on March the 20th,
- 22 you were still cooperating with Investigator Davis,
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. And did Investigator Davis give you permission

- to continue selling drugs?
- 2 A. No.

3

- Q. Did he tell you you had to stop selling drugs?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Did he tell you you had to lead a law-abiding
- 6 life?
- 7 | A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. But you didn't do that, did you?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. You went right back and continued selling
- 11 drugs, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And that's what led to your arrest in
- 14 Massachusetts, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. Now, while you were up here on March the
- 17 | 13th, 2009, you were on parole, is that correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And when you're on parole, that comes at the
- $20 \parallel$  end of serving a certain amount of time in jail,
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And when you're released on parole, you have to
- 24 meet -- strike that -- you appear in front of a
- 25 parole board, correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. And at that point in time there is a determination made to release you, correct?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. And prior to being released, you have to go over a whole set of conditions of your release, correct?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. You have to acknowledge receipt of those conditions?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- Q. And you have to agree to abide by those conditions, correct?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- Q. And some of those conditions, for example,
  would include that if you have any police contact,
  you have to immediately contact your parole
  officer, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. And you're not allowed to travel outside the jurisdiction of your area unless you get permission, correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. And you're also not allowed to conceal or carry drugs, correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. You're not allowed to possess drugs?
- 3 A. Correct.

2

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

19

20

- Q. You're not allowed to use drugs?
- 5 A. Correct.
  - Q. You're not allowed to purchase drugs for the purpose of selling drugs?
    - A. Correct.
      - Q. Those are also conditions, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
  - Q. And, for example, you're also not allowed to possess drug paraphernalia, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. And a vehicle with a trap door could be considered drug paraphernalia, correct?
- 16 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Objection.
- THE COURT: I'll permit the question.

  Overruled.
  - MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, it's factually incorrect. That's not the definition of drug paraphernalia.
- THE COURT: It calls for a yes or no. If
  you want -- at this point, the question's probably
  lost. If there's a motion to strike, I'll strike
  it.

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Disregard the question, ladies and gentlemen, because it's been lost in translation.

Mr. Musitano, go ahead.

MR. MUSITANO: Thank you.

## BY MR. MUSITANO:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

- Q. For example, you're not allowed to possess drug paraphernalia, correct, as another condition?
- A. Correct.
- Q. A vehicle with a trap door or a trap

  compartment utilized for transporting drugs could

  be considered drug paraphernalia, correct?
- 14 A. I'm not sure.
  - Q. Okay. Well, while you were on parole, did you possess your BMW, sir?
- 17 A. Did I possess?
- Q. Let me rephrase the question. While you were on parole, you possessed your BMW, correct?
- 20 A. If I had a BMW, yes.
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Did you tell your parole officer that
  the vehicle you were driving had a trap for
  transporting cocaine in it?

A. No.

- Q. Would that have been a violation of your parole?
  - A. I'm not sure.
- Q. Did you tell him?
- A. No.
  - Q. Why didn't you tell him?
  - A. I ain't seen no reason to.
  - Q. You didn't see any reason to conceal -- strike that -- to disclose to your parole officers that you had a vehicle that could be used to transport drugs, right?

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Objection, your Honor. He said he doesn't know if that constitutes drug paraphernalia. That's the preface for these questions.

THE COURT: Well, yeah, but it went beyond that. I'll let the questions and answers stand.

The jury may consider if they choose to do that.

BY MR. MUSITANO:

Q. Now, when you were traveling up to Syracuse on March the 13th, 2009, according to your testimony, you indicated that you had just become reacquainted with Mr. Knighton, is that correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. And that's because you had been incarcerated, correct?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. You had been incarcerated starting sometime in about October of 1997, correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And that's because you were convicted of transporting eight kilos of cocaine up the New York State Thruway, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. And you were sentenced to 25 years to life, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And then your sentence was reduced because of the change in the Rockefeller drug laws, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And you were released earlier, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. And when you were released from -- from prison, you were still on parole, correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. And while you were on parole, you continued to deal drugs, is that correct?
- MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, this has all been asked and answered.

1 THE COURT: Yeah, it has been. Sustained. 2 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Thank you. 3 MR. MUSITANO: When you were arrested in 4 March of 2009, one of the conditions of your parole 5 was to notify your parole officer of police contact, right? 6 7 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, again, this has 8 all been asked and answered. 9 THE COURT: Where are you going with this, 10 Mr. Musitano? 11 MR. MUSITANO: Judge, another condition, 12 one of the conditions of --13 THE COURT: Well, go right to it. 14 MR. MUSITANO: I did. One of your 15 conditions was to report police contact to your 16 parole officer, correct? 17 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Asked and answered, 18 Judge. 19 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. MUSITANO: 20 21 Q. When you got back to the Bronx on or about 22 March 20th, 2009, was your parole revoked? 23 Α. No. 24 Did your parole officer know you were arrested? 25 Α. Yes.

- Q. You told him you were arrested?
- 2 A. Yes.

3

8

- Q. Okay. And he didn't revoke your parole --
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. -- is that your testimony? Now you indicated
- 6 to Mr. Duszkiewicz that you originally met
- 7 Mr. Knighton in 1987, correct?
  - A. Around that time frame.
  - Q. And then eventually, you indicated to
- 10 Mr. Duszkiewicz, that you had a relationship with
- 11 Mr. Knighton, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Started sometime in around 1990 or so?
- 14 | A. Um-hum.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. Is that a yes?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. I believe at that time you told Mr. Duszkiewicz
- 18 you started selling small amounts?
- 19 A. Yes.
- $20 \parallel Q$ . And again, and that was in 1990?
- 21  $\blacksquare$  A. Around -- got to be around that time frame. I
- $22 \parallel$  went up there in 1987, so I figured a year or two
- from there when I started meeting anybody.
- 24  $\parallel$  Q. And then 1990 was the first time you were
- 25 arrested for drugs, correct?

- A. As a juvenile, I think.
- Q. Do you remember in 1991 you were placed on five years' probation?
  - A. Oh, yes. Yes.
- 5 Q. And again, when you're on probation, like
- 6 parole, there is conditions of your release,
- 7 correct?

4

- 8 A. Yes.
  - Q. Conditions that you had to abide by?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Conditions like reporting to your probation
- 12 officer?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14  $\parallel$  Q. Conditions of leading a law-abiding life?
- 15 A. Yes.
- MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, I'm going to
  stipulate, if I can, that Mr. Valerio went back to
- 18 the drug business. He's testified to that.
- THE COURT: Yes, a number of times. Let's
- move on.
- MR. MUSITANO: Sure.
- 22 BY MR. MUSITANO:
- Q. And then that probation sentence was revoked in
- 24 | 1991, right?
- 25 A. Yes.

Q. Because you sold drugs again?

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, again, we're in the asked-and-answered ballpark. He's indicated he went back to selling drugs, whether on parole or probation.

THE COURT: Sustained.

## BY MR. MUSITANO:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

19

- Q. Well, when you're selling drugs, Mr. Valerio, whether you started in 1990 all the way 2009, do you tell people "I'm a drug dealer"?
- A. Repeat that again.
- Q. Sure. When you're selling drugs like

  Mr. Duszkiewicz just stipulated to, that you're a

  drug dealer, do you tell people that you sell

  drugs?
  - A. Only the associates that I deal with?
- Q. Do you tell the public William Valerio has cocaine for sale?
  - A. No.
- 20 Q. Why not?
- A. Because some things you just don't do in public.
  - Q. Because you might get arrested?
- 24 A. I don't think you get arrested for saying that.
- 25 Q. You get arrested if you sold it?

- A. Definitely.
- 2 Q. Okay. So, when you're selling drugs, you have
- 3 to kind of conceal what you're doing, right?
- 4 A. Yes.

- 5 Q. Okay. So, for example, you told
- 6 Mr. Duszkiewicz you had the Uplander that had a
- 7 trap, right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You're concealing drugs?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. From the public?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. From the police?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. You don't put it in your compartment right
- 16 beside you, right?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Okay. Or you hide it in the back where your
- 19 | jack is, and you have to unscrew something to put
- 20  $\parallel$  the cocaine in, and then you can cover it to hide
- 21 it, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. You also told us that you had that 1995
- 24 BMW?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Those are the types of vehicles you use when you buy and sell drugs, correct?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

- Q. That's what you were using when you were involved in the drug business over the two decades you were involved, correct?
- A. With the BMW?
  - Q. No. With vehicles that you were using. You were using vehicles with traps in them, right?
- 10 A. Yes.
  - Q. Because you don't want anybody to see what you're doing?
  - A. Right.
    - Q. You don't want anybody to see what you have?
- 15 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. So do other people that were involved in the drug business have vehicles with traps in them?
- 18 A. Yes.
  - Q. And they use those vehicles with traps in them to conceal drugs?

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, I take it we have
qualified Mr. Valerio as an expert drug dealer so

Mr. Musitano can ask him what the general manner in
which drug dealers involved themselves in. I take
it that's where it's going. I'm going to object to

this line of questions.

THE COURT: All right. Put your next question, Mr. Musitano.

BY MR. MUSITANO:

- Q. So when people are involved in the drug business, they use these vehicles with trap doors, correct?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Now, you told Mr. Duszkiewicz you were aware of
- Mr. Knighton's SUV that he had just purchased, do
- 11 you remember that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Do you remember what kind of an SUV it was?
- 14 A. Yeah, Tahoe.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if that Tahoe that you had seen previously, if it had a trap in it?
- 17 | A. No.
- 18 Q. There was no trap in it?
- 19 A. Not that I know of.
- Q. You said you talked to Mr. Knighton all the time, right?
- 22 A. Yeah.
- Q. Was there a trap in that vehicle after you had discussions with Mr. Knighton?
- 25 A. No.

- Q. Just a plain-Jane vehicle, right?
- A. He just got it from the dealership.
- Q. Okay. When did he get it from the dealership?
- A. Maybe a like a week, you know, a week before I
- 5 met with him, maybe. Fairly new. Hardly a week.
- 6 I'm not sure.
- 7 Q. So he came to meet you on March the 13th, 2009,
- 8 with a new vehicle and not with a 1995 BMW that had
- 9 traps in it, is that your testimony?
- 10 A. Yes.

2

3

- 11 | Q. Okay. Now, Mr. Valerio, you were -- as you
- 12 indicated, you were in prison in New York -- strike
- 13 that -- in Massachusetts serving your sentence,
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. You were brought into the Western District of
- 17 New York in November of this year, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And you were originally housed at Niagara
- 20 County Jail?
- 21 A. Yeah, they brought me there, yes.
- 22 Q. When you say "they," who brought you there?
- 23 A. U.S. Marshals.
- Q. Did the U.S. Marshals serve you with a
- 25 subpoena?

- A. Not that I know of.
- Q. Did they have a writ to bring you are here into court?
  - A. Not that I know of.
    - Q. Did you call them up to come and pick you up so you could come here and testify?
- 7 A. No.

4

5

6

8

- Q. So did you know you were coming here on November the 13th, 2014?
- 10 A. Well, my lawyer informed me that they had spoke to him.
- Q. Okay. And when you say "they spoke to him," do
  you know who spoke to him?
- 14 | A. No.
- 15 Q. And that's Mr. Dolan, your lawyer, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. And then you came here, and you were at
- Niagara County from November 13th to the 17th,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And in that time frame, those four days,
- November the 13th to November the 17th, did you
- 23 speak to anyone from law enforcement?
- 24 A. Besides the deputies, no. No law enforcement.
- Q. Okay. Did you, for example, the investigators

- in the courtroom today?
- 2 A. No.

- Q. Anybody from DEA?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. From Mr. Duszkiewicz?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. From Mr. Duszkiewicz's office?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. And then you were transported to Niagara --
- 10 strike that -- to the Erie County Holding Center,
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And that's where you and I met yesterday?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. While you were there, up until yesterday, did
- 16 anybody come to the jail to visit you?
- 17 | A. When?
- 18 Q. At the Erie County?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. So from November the 17th until yesterday, no
- 21 one came to see you at the Erie County Holding
- 22 Center, either investigators, DEA agents,
- 23 Mr. Duszkiewicz, or anyone?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Okay. Now, in --

1 MR. MUSITANO: Judge, may we take a 2 ten-minute break, please? 3 THE COURT: Sure. Okay. We will go for 4 about 15 minutes break-wise, and then we will come 5 back, and we'll go until approximately quarter to five, 5:00 o'clock, right in that vicinity, and 6 7 we'll get you out. You've been great. Please don't discuss the 8 9 case. Make sure you come back, and we'll see you 10 in about 15 minutes, okay? 11 (Jury excused from the courtroom.) 12 THE COURT: Okay. We'll see you in about 13 15. 14 (Short recess was taken.) 15 (Jury seated.) 16 THE COURT: Welcome back, ladies and 17 gentlemen. Have a seat, please. Okay. The attorneys and parties are back, 18 19 present. Our is jury is back, of course, roll call 20 waived. Thank you very much for your efforts. We 21 really appreciate that. And again, this is the 22 case of United States versus Steven A. Knighton. 23 And we have on the stand still, for 24 cross-examination purposes, William Valerio. And

he's on cross-examination by Mr. Musitano.

Mr. Musitano, you may resume.

MR. MUSITANO: Thank you, Judge.

### BY MR. MUSITANO:

- Q. Mr. Valerio, when we broke I had asked you whether you had been visited by anyone from law enforcement or the United States Attorney's office while you have been housed at the Erie County Holding Center. Do you remember that question?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

- Q. And you've been at the holding center since
  November the 17th, 2014, up until today, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, prior to today, the first time you had contact in this case was March 13th, 2009, correct?
- 16 A. Repeat that again.
- Q. Sure. The first time you had contact with law enforcement in this case was in March 2009,
- 20 A. Yes.

correct?

- Q. And that was contact you had with Investigator
  Doug Davis, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. At that point in time, you told
- 25 Mr. Duszkiewicz, you provided him with information

- about this case, correct?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

6

7

- Q. And did Investigator Doug Davis prepare any statements for you to sign?
- 5 A. No.
  - Q. Okay. Did he ask you to give a stenographic statement under oath?
  - A. What?
- 9 Q. Did he ask you to give a statement that was
  10 prepared by a stenographer --
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. -- like Miss McLaughlin here?
- 13 A. No.
- Q. Did he ask you to sign any deposition, sworn deposition about your activity in this case?
- 16 A. No.
- Q. Okay. So the only thing that you gave

  Investigator Davis was the words that came out of

  your mouth. You didn't give him any writings with

  the stuff you testified to today, correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that the second time
  you had contact with law enforcement involved in
  this case would have been November 9, 2010?
- 25 A. November 9th.

- Q. 2010, while you were being housed at a state facility in Massachusetts?
  - A. I can't recall.
  - Q. Okay. Well, let me ask you.

THE COURT: What was the question again?

Is the only what?

# BY MR. MUSITANO:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

20

- Q. My question to him was: The next time you had contact with law enforcement, either New York State Police or DEA, involving this case was on
- 11 November 9, 2010, is that correct?
- 12 A. I know in 2010. But I can't give you an exact date.
  - Q. Let me ask you this: When you had that second contact in 2010 you were in jail, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. That was in Massachusetts, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Between March 13th, 2009, and
- 21 either Investigator Doug Davis, Investigator
- 22 Calloway, Gene Nana from DEA, Mr. Duszkiewicz, or

November 9th, 2010, did you have contact with

- 23 anyone?
- 24 A. I believe so.
- Q. When was that?

A. In 2010.

1

4

5

6

11

12

- Q. Okay. When -- where was it when you had this other contact in 2010?
  - A. In the county jail.
  - O. In Massachusetts?
    - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Between that contact you said in

  Massachusetts and the one in Syracuse, did you have

  any other contact with law enforcement between

  those two dates, the date of March of 13th, 2009,

and the date of November 9, 2010?

Q. Did you talk to Investigator Davis between

I don't understand the question.

- 14 | March 2009 and November 2010?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. When did you talk to him?
- 17 A. 2009 and in 2010.
- Q. Okay. Did you talk to him between those two dates?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. How many times did you talk to him?
- 22 A. Well, when I was -- when I was out I spoke to
- 23 him several times.
- 24  $\parallel$  Q. When you were out meaning when you were out --
- 25 A. On bail.

- Q. Okay. On bail from your arrest in Syracuse?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Okay. How many times did you talk to Investigator Davis?
- A. Maybe once a week.
  - Q. Okay. Did you talk to him in person?
- 7 A. No.
  - Q. Did you talk to him on the telephone?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. Did you talk about this case?
- 11 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. Did Investigator Davis ever ask you to sign any statements as a result of conversations with you during that time period after you were released on bail until your meeting with him in November of 2010?
    - MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, I'm going to object. It's asked and answered. He said he's never given a statement, a deposition, a stenographic record, or anything.
- THE COURT: Yeah, that's a fair objection.

  22 Sustained.
- 23 BY MR. MUSITANO:
- Q. When you were brought into the Western District of New York -- again, we'll use the date of

- November 13th, 2014 -- were you asked to appear before a federal grand jury to testify?
- 3 A. No.
- Q. Were you ever asked to appear anywhere to testify?
- A. Usually call my lawyer. I wasn't advised about anything.
  - Q. Did you appear anywhere other than here today to give testimony about this case?
- 10 A. No.

- Q. Okay. Now, when you met with Investigator

  Davis the day you were arrested, did you see him
- taking any handwritten notes?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. When was he taking those handwritten notes?
- A. During the time that we was traveling to Buffalo.
- 19 Q. Okay. So while he's in the vehicle?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Talking to you?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. You're talking to him, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Other than that period of time when you're

- driving from Syracuse to Buffalo, did you see him take any handwritten notes?
  - A. I can't recall.
  - Q. After you returned to Syracuse and you were arraigned in front of the judge, did you meet with Investigator Davis then?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Okay. Did you see him taking any notes?
- A. Yes.
- 10  $\square$  Q. So he took notes that day also?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you were in the Syracuse area for seven days, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you meet with Investigator Davis during that seven-day period or so?
- 17 A. Yes, I believe so.
- Q. And when you met with him, you were obviously at the jail, correct?
- A. I think it was the day I got out, or I just -I can't recall. I can't remember exactly if it was
  at the jail or in front of the jail.
- Q. Okay. When you were in front of the jail, that
  means you would been released?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. When you met with him when you were released from jail, you met with him in a parking lot?
  - A. I think it was in front of the -- in front of the facility.
  - O. In an office there?
  - A. No, because we went to the state barracks after that.
    - Q. So you went to the state barracks from the jail, you met with Investigator Davis, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- Q. When you were talking to Investigator Davis, you were talking about this case?
- 13 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

- 14 Q. Was he taking notes that day?
- 15 A. I can't recall.
- Q. Okay. So the only notes you recall him taking were the notes he took in the vehicle, correct?
- 18 A. Right.
- 19 Q. Did he -- and you didn't see him take any notes 20 after that, correct?
- 21 A. I believe so.
- Q. You believe that he didn't take them, or you believe he did take notes?
- A. I can't recall. I don't want to answer that question if I'm not a hundred percent sure.

- Q. So it's fair to say you don't know whether or not he took any notes other than in the vehicle, correct?
- A. Well, when he took me -- when I left Buffalo to Syracuse, you know, I believe that he might have took them, but I'm not sure.
- Q. Okay. But that's on your drive back in the vehicle, correct?
- A. Yeah, I believe so.
- Q. Okay. Now, when you talked to Investigator

  Davis on March the 13th, 2009, he was asking you

  questions about your relationship with Steve

  Knighton, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Okay. And isn't it true while you were driving
  to Buffalo and afterwards you told Investigator

  Davis that you were going to deliver one kilo of
  cocaine to Steve Knighton?
- 19 A. No.
- $20 \parallel Q$ . You never said that to Investigator Davis?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. Okay. And isn't it true that you told
  Investigator Davis that you were going to deliver
  five kilos to Mr. Jones?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 \ Q. Okay. Now you told us, and you told
- 2 Mr. Duszkiewicz on direct, that your relationship,
- 3 in essence, with Mr. Knighton was for about eight
- 4 months, is that correct?
  - A. Eight, ten months.
- 6 Q. Okay. Now you told this honorable jury that
- 7 you were meeting with Mr. Knighton twice a week.
- 8 Do you remember saying that?
- 9 A. Yes.

- 10 Q. And at the time you were living in New York
- 11 City, so you would be driving up, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. And you would be driving up -- strike
- 14  $\parallel$  that. While you were driving up, you were working,
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. What you mean?
- 17 Q. Sure. You told Mr. Duszkiewicz that you were
- 18 working in the Bronx, do you remember saying that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- $20 \parallel Q$ . Okay. And that you had to come up and kind of
- 21 turn around and go back?
- 22 A. Right.
- 23 Q. And you said you were coming up twice a week,
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Because of work.
- A. That was the beginning of business when we first started.
  - Q. Where were you working?
  - A. Construction.
  - Q. Okay. In 2008 you were working in construction?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

18

- Q. Okay. And that was at the beginning?
- A. I met him in the fall of 2007, but when -- when
  we started, you know, profiting more, I stopped
  working, because obviously that's when I started
  coming twice a week.
  - Q. Okay.
- 15  $\parallel$  A. There was no need for me to work anymore.
- Q. So you stopped working at the legitimate job, and you start working in this job, correct?
  - A. Right.
- Q. Okay. Now you also told this honorable jury
  that after you got out of the business because you
  were incarcerated, in order to get back in the
  business, you had to get some money to reestablish
  your relationship, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
  - Q. And you told us that you didn't have any money

- because you were incarcerated, and now you were working in construction, correct?
  - A. Right.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. And you told us that Mr. Knighton gave you \$65,000 to get you started again, correct?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. Now, when you talked to Investigator Davis on March the 13th, 2009, did you tell him that?
  - A. At that point, no. He was just -- he was focused on getting to Buffalo at that point.
- 11 Q. So while you're driving there, you didn't tell

  12 him, "The reason I got back in the business was

  13 because that man gave me \$65,000," you didn't tell

  14 him that, right?
  - A. Not at that point.
  - Q. Okay. And then you said that Mr. Knighton would call you whenever you need -- whenever he needed more work or products?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And when he would call you, he would use a telephone?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And your telephone was within an area code in either New York or Massachusetts, depending where you were living, correct?

- A. It wasn't an area code. It was more like a number code thing.
  - Q. So he would call your number code, and you would call his number code, correct?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

- Q. You said these conversations went on for a period of eight to ten months or so?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Did you ever see any phone records to confirm those -- that phone contact between you and
- 11 Mr. Knighton for eight to ten months?
- 12 A. Well, we usually don't use that type of phones
  13 that they could record phone calls, you know, so -14 we never used no landline-type phones so for the
  15 purposes of not being tracked.
  - Q. So, cellphones that you used, you have to pay bills on those?
- 18 A. No.
  - Q. You don't pay bills on your cellphones?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Okay. And you had four cellphones, correct?
- 22 A. I believe so.
- Q. Okay. Three of them, and then a Verizon phone, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And where are those phones today?
- 2 A. I'm not sure.
- Q. Okay. Well, you took them back with you to the
- 4 Bronx, correct, when you left Syracuse?
  - A. Yes.

- 6 Q. Because you asked the police to give them back
- 7 to you, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. They gave them back to you?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Did they ever dump, or take all the numbers out
- 12 of your phones, and show you those numbers and say,
- "Who are these people here?"
- 14 A. At one point they did, yeah.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. Did you see it on writing, all the numbers that
- 16 | they wrote down?
- 17  $\blacksquare$  A. I know talked about some numbers there.
- 18  $\parallel$  Q. When did he write those numbers down?
- 19 A. I can't -- I can't tell you a specific date.
- 20 I'm not sure.
- 21 Q. Well, you told us that he wrote numbers down,
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. Yeah, but to give you a, you know, an actual
- 24 date from -- it's been a long time.
- 25 Q. Sure. Give this honorable jury an approximate

date when it was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. March -- maybe March 15th, two days later, or March 13th, I'm not -- it could have been the same exact day that I got arrested or maybe after the weekend, which is that Monday. That Monday would be the 15th, 16th, around there.
- Q. Okay. Go ahead?
  - A. That's what I could think of.
- Q. He showed you -- showed you a piece of paper with numbers on them?
- 11 | A. Um-hum.
- 12 Q. Is that yes?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 \ Q. You identified who those numbers were, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
  - MR. MUSITANO: Judge, I'd ask for a copy of that writing with all the numbers on it because I haven't seen a copy of it.
    - THE COURT: Okay.
  - MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: I draw Mr. Musitano's attention to Exhibit 16. If he thinks he doesn't have it, I'll give him another copy.
    - MR. MUSITANO: I have 16, Judge.
- THE COURT: That's, I guess, what we're talking about.

1 MR. MUSITANO: Did you see -- if I could 2 just have one moment? 3 THE COURT: Sure. When you were talking 4 about phones that didn't have records, are you 5 talking about the beep phone? 6 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 7 THE COURT: That's different from a 8 cellphone? 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MR. MUSITANO: May I approach, Judge? 12 THE COURT: Yes. 13 BY MR. MUSITANO: Mr. Valerio, I'm showing you what's been 14 15 previously marked as Government Exhibit 16 for 16 identification purposes, and ask you, do you 17 recognize that document? 18 Α. Yes, sir. 19 What does that document purport to be? Q. 20 The numbers that I was speaking about. Α. 21 Those are -- but those are numbers you Q. Okay. 22 provided him, correct? 23 From the phone. From the bleep phone. Α. 24 That's the bleep phone you were checking 25 numbers for?

- A. Yeah, the ones that I was using for --
- Q. But they're not numbers Investigator Davis took out of your phone, correct?
- A. Well, he had a -- he had a -- he the one to make sure he looked at them, because I could have been lying. So he verified that those are the numbers that I was telling him that was on the phone.

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Musitano --

MR. MUSITANO: I apologize, Judge.

THE COURT: Thank you.

## BY MR. MUSITANO:

- Q. It's your testimony that you're giving him numbers, then he took the phone from you and verified the cell numbers, is that correct?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 17 Q. And this is in the car?
- 18 A. I believe so.
- Q. Okay. Now, when you're in the car with him -or strike that -- in the police vehicle with him,
  and you're having these conversations, you
  indicated that you had conversations with Steve and
  other people, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. Now, you're telling him who you're

- talking to, correct?
- A. He could hear me, but yeah, I'm telling him who
  I'm talking to.
  - Q. My question to you was: You were telling him who you were talking to, correct?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Okay. Now you also told Mr. Duszkiewicz that there were times while you were driving that you would put the speaker on?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And the bleep phone has a speaker?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you're sure you would put that on sometimes?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. You never said to Investigator Davis, "I can't put it on or it doesn't work?"
  - A. I told him like when I was speakings to certain dudes, like in the highway, especially in New York, I usually never put it on speaker. So not to get them paranoid hear some echoing, every time they used to call, I end up taking the speaker off it.
  - Q. Every time who would call?
- 24 A. Individuals from New York.
- 25 Q. You were allowed to carry on conversations with

- individuals in New York?
- 2 A. Yes.

- 3 Q. And, in fact, you made calls to individuals in
- 4 New York, correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. You, in fact, made a call to your wife,
- 7 correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. In fact, you asked your wife to call and
- 10 contact an attorney for you, right?
- 11 A. Yeah.
- 12 Q. Okay. Now, when you're talking to these
- people, you're talking in talking to them in
- 14 | Spanish, correct?
- 15 A. Yeah.
- 16 Q. When you were talking to these individuals in
- 17 New York, you were talking to them in Spanish,
- 18 correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Did Investigator Davis, if you know, did he
- 21 speak Spanish?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Did the other investigators in the vehicle
- 24 speak Spanish?
- 25 A. I know at one point -- I'm not sure if it was

when I was coming back or going, they had a Spanish -- Spanish law enforcement agent in the vehicle. But I'm not sure if it was that -- if it was going or coming, or another time frame. I just don't remember. I remember having a Spanish -- or they got him for that reason so they could be able to understand what was coming -- you know, what kind of conversations I was having.

- Q. So you don't know if the investigator -- strike that -- the Spanish-speaking investigator was in the vehicle when you were driving to Buffalo?
- A. Yeah, I'm not sure. I'm not sure.
- Q. So you don't remember just Investigator Davis and investigator -- and another investigator in the front, and you in the back, and that's all?
- A. No, it was -- I believe it was three investigate -- three law enforcement in the vehicle. I wasn't back there by myself, I know that for sure. They had somebody back there I guess securing me, maybe they might think I might jump out the car.
- Q. Sure.

- A. I believe it was three law enforcement agents in there.
  - Q. But as you sit there, you're not certain?

- 1 About the three, yeah. But as far as the 2 Spanish interpreter, I'm not sure. 3 Okay. So when you just said that he might have 4 been in there, or that Spanish interpreter might 5 have been in the vehicle, you were quessing? 6 Yeah, I can't recall. Yeah. 7 Okay. Now, Investigator Davis or the other 8 investigator didn't understand Spanish, isn't that 9 true? 10 Yes. Α. 11 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Objection, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Grounds? 13 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: How would he know? 14 THE COURT: If he knows. Do you know? 15 MR. MUSITANO: You answered yes, correct? 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. 17 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Again, I object to the 18 question and the answer. 19 THE COURT: No. You can inquire. 20 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Thank you. 21 THE COURT: The answer will stand. 22 MR. MUSITANO: Now, they didn't understand 23 what you were saying, correct, when you were
  - MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Objection, your Honor.

25

talking in Spanish?

1 THE COURT: Do you know? 2 THE WITNESS: If they understood, no, I 3 don't know if they understood. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 BY MR. MUSITANO: 6 Q. Now you indicated to Mr. Duszkiewicz that your 7 phones or these bleep phones have memory cards? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Okay. The phone that you were using on Q. 10 March 13th, 2009, did that have a memory card? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. Did -- if you know, did Investigator Davis take 13 your memory card out of the phone? 14 Α. I don't know. 15 Q. Okay. Did he give you your phone back? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Did it have the memory card in it? Q. 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. Okay. Now when you were in the car and you 20 were -- you told us that you were communicating 21 with Steve, you were using the bleep phone, 22 correct? 23 A. Yes. 24 Okay. And you were telling the agents you were

25

talking to Steve, correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. You never let the agents hear Steve's conversation, correct?
  - A. I had it on speaker, so they would hear the conversation.
  - Q. So every time -- if I understand your testimony, every time you would bleep Steve, you would put it on speaker?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So that they could hear his side of the conversation, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you told us earlier that you didn't have the speaker on when you were talking to the people in the Bronx, because you didn't want them to think that there was something wrong, correct?
- 17 A. Exactly.
- Q. So you didn't use it for the people in the
  Bronx, but you used your speaker for Mr. Knighton?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now when you spoke to the agents, and that would be Investigator Clinton Calloway, who's in the courtroom today, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.

25

Q. Did you tell him when you were discussing --

- let me back up for a minute. When you met with
- these agents, you also met with your attorney,
- 3 correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. He was there, Mr. Dolan?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And they weren't forcing you to give any
- 8 answer, correct?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. They weren't threating you in any way?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. You were comfortable when you were talking to
- 13 Investigator Calloway, correct?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. And he was asking you questions about Steven
- 16 Knighton, correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And you started giving information, correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. Now, you didn't tell them that Steven
- 21 Knighton gave you \$65,000 to get you started in
- business, correct?
- 23 A. I don't think they asked me that.
- 24 Q. Did you offer that information to them?
- 25 A. I don't -- I don't think so. I don't remember.

Q. Okay. Well, it's a pretty significant event, isn't it?

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Objection, your Honor. He says he doesn't recall if anyone asked, and he said he didn't provide the information, regardless of whether significant or not. I object to the form of the question, Judge.

THE COURT: Are you saying it's irrelevant?

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Sustained.

## BY MR. MUSITANO:

- Q. Now when you were testifying earlier, you said that you were charging 38 to \$40,000 per kilo, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 17  $\square$  Q. Is that a yes?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you told this honorable jury you were traveling with two kilos for Mr. Knighton, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. You didn't tell them one kilo, like you told
  Investigator Davis, correct?
  - A. I told Davis that he had paid -- he had paid

- 1 for one of the kilos, that's what I told him.
- Q. You told Investigator Davis he had paid for one of the kilos.
  - A. One of the kilos was already being paid for.
  - Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear your --
- A. One -- out of the two kilos, one of them was paid for.
  - Q. Okay. So that was 41,000 for one kilo?
- 9 A. Yes.

5

- Q. Okay. So I thought you said that you were delivering two kilos to Mr. Knighton, and you were going to pick up \$41,000.
- 13 A. Yeah.
- 14 | Q. And that's 41,000 for two kilos.
- 15  $\blacksquare$  A. No, that was only for one.
- Q. Okay. Well, wasn't it your testimony that he was going to pay 41 for two?
- A. No. I said I was charging for each -- at that point I was charging from 40 to 42, around there.
- Q. Okay. So he was going to get two kilos, but only give you \$41,000?
- 22 A. Yeah.
- 23 Q. Was one kilo for free?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. Was one kilo going to be paid for later?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

25

- Q. Did you tell Investigator Calloway that one was given to Mr. Knighton free -- to be paid for in the future?
  - A. I just told him he was getting two kilos.
  - Q. That's what you told Investigator Davis
    March 19th?
- A. No, I told --

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: The question was

Calloway, Judge. I'm sorry, did we shift questions

here or investigators?

THE COURT: To the form of the question, sustained. Reput the question, please.

## BY MR. MUSITANO:

- Q. On March 13th, 2009, you told Investigator

  Davis you were going to deliver two kilos of

  cocaine to Mr. Knighton, is that your testimony?

  A. Yeah, and I told him that Steve Knighton had
- 19 41,000 for the one kilo that he was going to pay for.
  - Q. That was while you were driving up there?
- 22 A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. Did you tell him that you were going to front him one kilo?
  - A. I don't think so. I don't recall.

- Q. Did you tell him you were going to give him one on consignment?
  - A. I don't recall that neither.
  - Q. So you were going to give Mr. Knighton an extra free kilo?
  - A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. When did he pay for that other kilo?
- A. Well, I got arrested, so he ain't have to pay for it.
- Q. So were you going to give him two kilos and only take money for one?
- 12 A. Yes.
  - Q. And then he was going to have a balance?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. of 41?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And that's the information you gave to

  Investigator Davis on March 13th, 2009? Is it your
- 19 testimony?
- A. What I told Davis was that Steve Knighton was
  getting two kilos. And that Steve Knighton have
  money for the one kilo, you know, so Steve Knighton
  was going to have money on him.
- Q. And you specifically said 41,000?
- 25 A. I believe so. Forty-one, forty-two, you know,

- around there.
  - Q. Sure. And then you indicated you spoke to

    Investigator Calloway in November of 2010, correct?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

8

- Q. Did you also tell him you were going to give two kilos to Steve Knighton on March 13, 2009?
- 7 A. I believe so.
  - Q. Okay. Well, when you say you believe, you're not certain?
- 10 A. Yeah. Yeah, two kilos. I never changed that
  11 fact.
- Q. Okay. Now in around September of 2013 you
  spoke to a lady by the name of Jackie Belakowski,
  correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. I'm sorry?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And that was while you were in jail, correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And Miss Belakowski was working for a defense attorney at that time, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Who was representing Mr. Knighton at the time, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And Miss Belakowski was asking you questions about this incident, isn't that true?
  - A. Yes.

Q. And isn't it true that you told Miss Belakowski in September of 2013 that the police asked you to put your speaker on your bleep phone?

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, I'm going to object if we're trying to cross-examine

Mr. Knighton [sic] based on some notes or chicken scratchings from some defendant's investigator.

It's clearly not a proper form of cross-examination. There's no indication that

Mr. Valerio has adopted in any way, shape, or form any notes conducted or interview conducted by someone else. It's not his statement.

MR. MUSITANO: Judge, with all due respect, when a person makes a statement to a third party, and that third party records it or makes note of it, and as long as you provide the person who gave the statement with a notice of the time, place, and date when they gave the statement, and the person denies making a statement, you can call a third party in, Judge.

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, that's fine. That's not a statement, Judge.

1 THE COURT: That's exactly right. 2 Sustained. 3 MR. MUSITANO: It's an oral statement that 4 comes out of his mouth, Judge. That's the 5 statement. Whether it's written or not, adopted or 6 not --7 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, a statement is 8 defined, and this is not a statement. 9 THE COURT: All right. That is not a 10 statement. I agree with the prosecutor. 11 Sustained. 12 MR. MUSITANO: I would note my objection, 13 Judge. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MR. MUSITANO: Isn't it true that you told 16 her that you couldn't use --17 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Objection. 18 THE COURT: No, that -- I don't know --19 there's not a full question out there, so that's 20 premature. 21 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: "Isn't it true you told 22 her" that's going to lead to, I presume, something 23 he's going to claim to have been a statement of 24 Mr. Valerio, yet it's not a statement.

THE COURT: He can admit or deny that he

said something.

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: I'll be moving to strike the question after we get either an affirmance or denial.

THE COURT: That's premature.

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: I understand, Judge.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Overruled. Okay.

## BY MR. MUSITANO:

- Q. Isn't it true that you told investigator -- or strike that. Isn't it true that you told Jackie Belakowski that the police asked you to put your speaker on, and you said that you could not do that?
- A. At a certain point, yeah.

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, I'm going to move to strike that.

THE COURT: On what basis?

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, again, we're doing the -- in the context of "isn't it true you told someone else." It's trying to be -- it's an implication that Mr. Valerio made a statement, something consistent with what's in someone else's notes.

THE COURT: No, that has nothing to do

with the notes. He was asked a question outright, he responds to that. We'll leave it at that, and we'll move on.

MR. MUSITANO: Isn't it true that you told Jackie Belakowski that your phone did not have a speaker?

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, are we going to dance around the same issue, Judge? This is, again, not his statement.

MR. MUSITANO: Judge, the rules of evidence permit the defendant to call in a witness who got a statement from a government witness at a different time, at a different place. It's a prior inconsistent statement, not reduced to writing, made through a third party, which you can get into, Judge, once you lay the proper foundation, and I did that.

THE COURT: No, you didn't do that.

Because it has to be an adopted statement if you're working off of somebody's notes. If you're talking about something else in terms of what he said and what statement he made, that's another thing. But you cannot proceed on the basis that written notes of somebody are his statement if he hasn't adopted those. They have to be, in substance, his

statement. You cannot cross-examine, under the rules, where there's not that adoption.

MR. MUSITANO: Judge, it's a prior statement inconsistent with what's testified to here.

THE COURT: No. No. Not if that is not an adopted statement. If it's somebody's notes, that does not mean it's his statement or not his statement.

MR. MUSITANO: Judge, whether they're notes or not, as long as he makes a statement to a third party that's inconsistent with his testimony, and I've laid a proper foundation when it was made, where he was, who he made it to, I can bring that third party in and introduce his statement. I mean, it's fundamental cross-examination, Judge, and introduction of statements through a third party.

THE COURT: Mr. Duszkiewicz.

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, let's bring in somebody else. It's not proper cross-examination for this witness. It has not been determined that this is his statement. To suggest that he did it on such and such a date with someone, again, is merely reading from someone's notes.

1 THE COURT: Ask him what he said. 2 MR. MUSITANO: I did. Isn't it true that 3 you told Miss Belakowski that the speaker on your 4 phone does not work? 5 THE WITNESS: No, I didn't tell her that. BY MR. MUSITANO: 6 7 Okay. Isn't it true that you told her that the 8 officers in the vehicles asked you to turn the 9 speaker on, and you said you can't? 10 I told her because of the Spanish-speaking 11 individuals I wasn't turning on the speaker. 12 So you just limited it to the Spanish-speaking 13 people and not Steve Knighton? 14 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, now we're going 15 to take it a step farther and talk about an explanation? Again, he's not advised of a 16 17 particular -- he's not adopted a particular 18 statement. Now we're recharacterizing something 19 that isn't properly in evidence in the first place. 20 THE COURT: No. That's overruled. 21 Go ahead, Mr. Musitano.

BY MR. MUSITANO:

22

23

24

25

Q. Isn't it true you also told Jackie Belakowski in September of 2013, when she came to visit you at the jail, that you did not know Steve Knighton's

truck?

1

2

4

6

8

- That I did not know his truck?
- 3 Yes, sir. Q.

Knighton?

- No, I never told her that. Α.
- 5 And isn't it true that you told Miss Belakowski 0. on that date in September while you were at the 7 jail that you did not drive by and identify Steve
- 9 I don't recall me telling her that. Α.
- 10 Okay. Now when you were talking to Q.
- 11 Mr. Knighton while you're driving from Syracuse to
- 12 Buffalo --
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 -- on your phone, you didn't mention cocaine, Q.
- 15 is that correct, in your conversation?
- 16 Α. No. We never talked like that.
- 17 Okay. And you never mentioned \$41,000, Q.
- 18 correct?
- 19 Α. No. We never talk like that on the phone.
- 20 Mr. Duszkiewicz asked you while you were Q.
- 21 driving in the car talking to Steve Knighton, if
- 22 you would tell Investigator Davis what you were
- 23 talking about. Do you remember being asked that
- 24 question?
- 25 Repeat that, please.

- Q. Sure. Let me rephrase it and I'll back up.

  You indicated to Mr. Duszkiewicz on your direct
  examination that as you were speaking to either

  Steve or the people in the Bronx or other people
  while you're traveling, that you would then tell
  Investigator Davis what the other person said. Do
  you remember saying that?
  - A. I believe so.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. Okay. When Mr. Duszkiewicz was asking you questions, you remember that?
- A. I'm not sure.
- Q. Okay. Now, Mr. Valerio, earlier before the
  break I asked you if between that period of
  November 13th and December 4th you had met with law
  enforcement and the government, and you said "No,"
  do you remember that?
  - A. November, yeah.
- Q. Okay. And then I asked you, "Isn't it true that I came to see you yesterday?" Correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And you didn't want to speak to me, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Now yesterday you met with the government, is that correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And you met with agents?
- 2 A. Yes.

- 3 Q. Okay. And you met with them from the morning,
- 4 correct?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And you met with them until the afternoon,
- 7 correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And you met with Agent Davis, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Agent Calloway?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. The two gentlemen that were in the courtroom
- 14 today?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. You also met with Mr. Duszkiewicz?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Did you meet with any other agents?
- 19 A. There was other people in the room, but I don't
- 20 know if they were agents.
- 21 Q. Okay. Were any of those people taking notes?
- 22 A. I can't recall. I can't recall.
- 23 Q. Okay. Now, isn't it true that after that
- 24 meeting with them yesterday, today was the first
- 25 time that you said Mr. Knighton gave you \$65,000 to

- get you started back in the business?
- 2 A. Today?

3

- Q. Yes, sir.
- 4 A. No, sir.
  - Q. Today's not the first time you said that?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Was yesterday the first time you said that?
- 8 A. I don't think so. I think it was prior to that.
- Q. Tell this honorable jury when it was prior to that.
- 12 A. Maybe a week or -- probably like a week from today.
- 14 Q. Okay. So a week ago today?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. When I asked you earlier if you had met with anybody from November to December, you said, "No."
- A. You asked me if they came to visit me in Erie
  County jail. I said, "No."
- Q. Okay. Did they come visit you at Niagara
  County Jail?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Did they bring you to their office?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. So you met with them more than just today?

A. One time.

1

2

6

8

9

19

Q. When was that?

3 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: I'm sorry, there was no

4 meeting today that I'm aware of. What are we

5 talking about?

MR. MUSITANO: I apologize. I'll rephrase

7 the question.

## BY MR. MUSITANO:

- Q. You met with him yesterday, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. That was the second meeting?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. When was the first meeting?
- 14 A. A week prior to that.
- 15 | Q. So the end of November?
- 16 A. I believe so.
- 17 | Q. Where did you meet?
- 18  $\blacksquare$  A. In the -- I guess the federal building.
  - Q. Mr. Duszkiewicz's office?
- 20 A. A conference room somewhere.
- 21 Q. And who was that meeting?
- 22 A. Clint, and I think his partner.
- 23 Q. What about Doug?
- 24 A. No, I don't think so.
- 25 Q. What about Mr. Duszkiewicz?

A. Yes.

1

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. And again you were reviewing your testimony for today's appearance, correct?
  - A. Well, he was asking me -- you know, he wanted me, you know, to give him the chain of events. And then he asked me additional questions, and, you know, that's when he asked me how did I get back started again. And I gave him my story.
  - Q. So that was a week before yesterday?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. And --
- 12 A. I believe -- yeah. Yeah, a week.
- Q. Sure. So it's your testimony that it was
  Investigator Calloway that you said that to?
- 15 | A. To --
- Q. How you got started back in the business after you had been out of business.
- A. Well, he was in the room at the time. He was listening, so --
- Q. Were they taking notes while they were listening to you a week ago?
- 22 A. I'm not sure.
- Q. And Investigator Calloway is the same
  Investigator Calloway you met in 2010, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And he was asking you questions about your relationship with Steve Knighton, isn't that true?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And that point in time, would you agree with me that your memory of the events of 2009 would be better then than in 2014?
- A. I can't agree with you. It's still a long time from now. That's four years.
- Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that your memory of what happens in 2009 and in 2008 is better in 2010 than 2014?
- A. It depends. You know, because somethings in 2009 might be more significant than some thing that happened in 2010, you know? Because I can remember exactly what happened in 2009. The 13th, which was a Friday. Everybody know Friday the 13th is not a really good day, you know. So I definitely remember what happened that day.
  - Q. Sure, because you got caught with seven kilos of cocaine in your vehicle?
- A. Exactly.
- Q. You were arrested and --
- 24 A. Yes.
  - Q. -- charged with criminal possession of a

controlled substance first degree?

A. Yes.

- Q. Facing over 24 years in prison, correct?
- A. Twenty-four years, yes.
- Q. You remember that's significant, isn't it?
- A. Exactly.

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, I'm sorry, my understanding of the state law doesn't equate to 24 years in jail.

THE COURT: Well, what your understanding is probably is not relevant to that line of inquiry.

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, Mr. Musitano is stating it as a fact, you're going to get 24 years for that charge.

THE COURT: If the witness said he didn't know, that would be one thing. He said "Yes," so if there's a way you want to clarify that, you can do that through examination or proposing judicial notice of sentencing exposure statutes. You can do whatever you choose to do in that regard.

Mr. Musitano, you may continue.

MR. MUSITANO: Thank you.

BY MR. MUSITANO:

Q. Okay. So, that was a significant event,

correct?

1

2

6

- A. Yes.
- 3 Q. But then you managed to get out of that event,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
  - Q. You were released?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- Q. Tell this honorable jury if you were charged with conspiracy that day.
- 10 A. If I was charged with conspiracy?
- 11 | Q. Yes, sir.
- 12 A. I didn't know what my actual charge was. My
- lawyer was handling all that. So I didn't know
- 14 what actually I was charged with.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. Well, you know you appeared in a town court?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. You know that you were charged with criminal
- 18 possession of a controlled substance, first degree,
- 19 right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. They gave you a paper that says that charge,
- 22 right?

time.

- 23 A. Yeah. It was like three in the morning I
- 24 think. I was pretty out of it already by that
- 25

- Q. Sure. But then you left?
- 2 A. Right.

3

- Q. Okay. Then you went to your cell?
- 4 A. Right.
  - Q. You left the jail a week later?
- 6 A. Right.
- Q. You knew you were looking at an A-1 felony, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- Q. And you're familiar at that point in time in your life with New York State Penal Law, correct?
- 12 A. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. But you weren't charged with conspiracy involving Mr. Knighton, correct?
- A. I can't say yes to that, because I'm not sure about that.
- Q. Okay. Did you ever appear in this building charged with a conspiracy involving Mr. Knighton?
- 19 | A. No.
- Q. Did you ever appear in Syracuse in a federal building charged with Mr. Knighton?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Now, how long was your meeting with
- Investigator Calloway about a week ago?
- A. Maybe half an hour, 40 minutes maybe.

Q. Okay.

1

2

- A. I didn't have a watch or anything.
- 3 Q. Sure. I understand. And your meeting
- 4 yesterday with the government and the agents was
- 5 how long?
  - A. Around the same time I believe.
- 7 Q. Okay. So you were out of Erie County from the
- 8 morning until mid-afternoon, correct?
- 9 A. Well, I was in Erie County all morning. They
- 10 ain't come to get me till-- if I'm right, like
- 11  $\parallel$  noontime or 1:00 o'clock. I was stuck in the
- 12 bullpen all morning.
- 13 Q. Okay. So you didn't leave Erie County until
- 14 | 12, 1:00 o'clock?
- 15  $\parallel$  A. Yeah, like 12 noontime, or 1:00 o'clock, around
- 16 there.
- 17 Q. And the sentence in Massachusetts, did you have
- a lawyer for that sentence?
- 19 A. Yes.
- $20 \parallel Q$ . And what was the maximum sentence you could
- 21 have received in that case?
- 22 A. Fifteen years.
- 23 Q. Fifteen years?
- 24 A. Yeah, mandatory.
- 25 Q. So it's 15 years mandatory, but you didn't get

1 the mandatory? 2 No, because I pled guilty. I copped out. 3 And you pled guilty in New York too, right? Q. 4 Yes. Α. 5 And in neither place did you plead quilty to a Q. 6 drug conspiracy involving Steve Knighton, correct? 7 Correct. Α. 8 Or Mr. Jones, correct? Q. 9 Α. Correct. 10 So it's fair to say, isn't it, Mr. Valerio, you 11 received immunity for this charge here, correct? 12 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Objection. 13 THE COURT: Grounds? 14 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: "Is it fair to say for 15 this charge here, " there is no charge here. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. MUSITANO: Let me rephrase the 18 question. 19 THE COURT: Form of the question, 20 sustained. 21 MR. MUSITANO: Isn't it true that you were 22 never charged with a drug conspiracy involving 23 Mr. Knighton?

THE COURT: No. I'll permit that.

MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Asked and answered.

Overruled. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Repeat the question. 3 BY MR. MUSITANO: 4 Sure. Isn't it true that you were never 5 charged with a drug conspiracy involving 6 Mr. Knighton? 7 I don't -- I don't think so. I don't believe 8 so. 9 Isn't it true you were not charged with Q. 10 agreeing to possess cocaine with Mr. Knighton? 11 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Objection, your Honor. 12 Now we're going to define a conspiracy? 13 THE COURT: No. 14 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: He says he was never 15 charged. 16 THE COURT: Overruled. 17 THE WITNESS: Repeat the question. 18 BY MR. MUSITANO: 19 Isn't it true you were never charged with 20 agreeing to possess cocaine with Mr. Knighton? 21 No. Α. 22 Q. You were never charged, correct? 23 I was never charged for that. Α. 24

Isn't it true you were never charged with

Mr. Knighton to conspire to possess cocaine with

the intent to distribute it? 1 2 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Objection, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Sustained. 4 MR. MUSITANO: Judge, if I could just have 5 one moment? 6 THE COURT: Sure. Our jury doing okay? 7 Okay, good. BY MR. MUSITANO: 8 9 Q. Now, finally you indicated to this honorable 10 jury that you sold your 1995 BMW to Mr. Knighton, 11 correct? 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 When did you sell that to him? 14 Α. Probably maybe like after the summer of 2008, 15 around that time frame. 16 So sometime between the summer and fall 17 of 2008? 18 Yeah, around there. 19 It wasn't just recently before your arrest in Q. 20 March, correct? 21 No, it wasn't right before my arrest in March. 22 It had to be maybe -- the latest I could think 23 about, maybe October I believe. I don't know.

25 A. September, October.

Q. October of 2008?

- Q. Did you give Mr. Knighton your ownership for that vehicle?
  - A. What you mean?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

19

- Q. Did you give him a piece of paper to

  Mr. Knighton that said William Valerio owns this

  1995 750 BMW that he would need to transfer the
- MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Judge, I remember a five series, not 700.
- THE COURT: It was a five series by testimony. Sustained.
- 12 MR. MUSITANO: I apologize.
- 13 BY MR. MUSITANO:

title?

- Q. Your five series BMW, did you give him a piece of paper that showed you own it and gave it to him?
  - A. I gave him the title of it, yes.
- Q. Did you ever see a copy of that title again prior to your testimony today?
  - A. No.
- Q. Did somebody show you a copy of the title as the vehicles's owned -- or was owned then?
- 22 A. Yeah.
- 23 Q. When did you see that title?
- A. Well, re -- re -- I mean, say the question over again.

- 1 When did you see the title that it was Q. 2 transferred to Mr. Knighton or somebody else?
  - That, no, no. I thought you was talking about Α. when I registered the vehicle. No, I never seen -after I gave him the title, I never saw the title ever again.
    - Okay. Now how many times did you see that vehicle being driven by Mr. Knighton?
    - After I gave him the title? Α.
- 10 Yes, sir. Q.

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 11 I never saw him driving it.
- 12 Q. Okay. So you told Mr. Duszkiewicz that he 13 wanted that vehicle?
- 14 Α. Yeah.
- 15 You told Mr. Duszkiewicz, and this honorable 16 jury saw a video that it had a trap? Is that a 17 yes?
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 Q. You told this honorable jury you had met Steven 20 Knighton, God knows how many times at the Walden 21 Galleria?
- 22 Α. Yes.

correct?

- 23 And you never saw him driving that BMW, 24
- 25 Well, the purpose -- the purpose of the BMW

- wasn't for him to use it with me. It was for him to do his local business with it. That's what that was for.
- Q. Oh, I see. So when he's picking up volumes and volumes that you told this honorable jury, he doesn't use the BMW?
- A. He never did.
  - Q. He never did, right?
- A. Yeah.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 10 Q. He never used that car with the trap, correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. That could hold, you told this honorable judge,
- 13 | 10 to 12 kilos?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. He never used that one?
- 16 A. No.
- Q. He would use the vehicle that he would drive every day of his life?
- A. Yeah. The same vehicles when we first started dealing.
- Q. And that if I understood your testimony
  correctly, those compartments could also be used to
  conceal currency, right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Money?

- A. Yes.
- Q. You used it to conceal money, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.

2

- Q. Now, when you delivered all this cocaine to
- 5 Mr. Knighton at the Walden Galleria, and you told
- 6 us that he didn't use the BMW with a trap door,
- 7 correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And is it your testimony that he didn't use
- 10 that same BMW to transport currency to pay you?
- 11 A. To where, to New York?
- 12 Q. No, sir. We're talking the Walden Galleria.
- 13 A. Repeat the question again.
- 14 Q. Sure. Is it your testimony that when
- 15  $\parallel$  Mr. Knighton would drive to meet you at the Walden
- 16 | Galleria to pick up all this cocaine, you told this
- 17 honorable jury he was buying from you --
- 18 A. Yeah.
- 19 Q. -- he didn't use the BMW, correct?
- 20 A. No, he never used it.
- $21 \parallel Q$ . So when he drove to the Walden Galleria with
- 22 | all this money in his vehicle, he didn't use that
- BMW, is that your testimony?
- 24 A. Yeah. He had the truck.
- Q. Okay. And you're saying which truck?

A. The Tahoe.

- Q. Well, he didn't have the Tahoe for eight
- 3 months, correct?
- 4 A. No, no. He had other vehicles.
- 5 Q. He had other vehicles?
- A. Yeah. He had -- before that he had a pickup truck.
- Q. Okay. Let me stop you there. I think you
  already described it for Mr. Duszkiewicz as a black
  pickup truck?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. Did that have a trap in it?
- 13 A. I don't think so.
- Q. Well, let me ask you this: Did you ever see a trap in that black pickup truck?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Okay. Prior to the black pickup truck, did
- 18 Mr. Knighton come to the Walden Galleria in another
- 19 | vehicle?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. What was the other vehicle?
- 22 A. Chevy Malibu I think.
- 23 Q. I'm sorry?
- 24 A. A Chevy Malibu.
- 25 Q. And that Chevy Malibu didn't have a trap door,

right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- A. I'm not sure. I was never really in the vehicle.
- Q. Did you ever see him open a trap and give you \$60,000 cash?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Did you ever see him open a trap and put two kilos in it?
    - A. No.
- Q. Now you told Mr. Duszkiewicz that sometimes you would talk to Mr. Knighton twice a week?
- 12 A. No. We would talk all the time.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 A. Face-to-face usually twice a week.
- Q. Okay. And more than that actually, so you would talk face-to-face twice a week, and then there would be phone conversations, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you ever see any cell site records for any telephone that puts you and Mr. Knighton together?
- 21 A. No.
- MR. MUSITANO: If I could just have one moment?
- 24 THE COURT: Yes.
- 25 BY MR. MUSITANO:

- Q. Now, Mr. Valerio, you indicated that when you were arrested in March 2009 that you were also on parole, correct?
  - A. State that again.
  - Q. Sure. On March 13th, 2009 --
- 6 A. Yes.

5

7

- Q. Black Friday, you were arrested?
- 8 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. You were on parole at that time,
- 10 correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Your parole officer was Parole Officer Hayes
- 13 | from the Bronx office, correct?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. And when you were arrested in
- 16 Massachusetts, was your parole violated?
- 17 A. I'm not sure.
- 18 Q. Well, you were on parole for a long time,
- 19 right?
- 20 A. Yeah.
- 21 Q. For life?
- 22  $\parallel$  A. No, no. I was only on parole for five years.
- 23 Q. From the date of your release from prison?
- 24 A. Yeah.
- 25 Q. And do you know if you went back to jail just

1 because of a parole violation for selling and 2 getting arrested for drugs in Massachusetts and 3 drugs in New York? 4 If -- if you could get go back to jail for 5 that? 6 Q. Yes. 7 Α. Yes. 8 So you could get violated? Q. 9 Α. Yes. 10 Did you get violated? 11 Α. No. 12 MR. MUSITANO: If I could just have a 13 moment, Judge. Thank you. 14 Thank you, Mr. Valerio. 15 I have nothing further, Judge. 16 THE COURT: Any questions, 17 Mr. Duszkiewicz? 18 MR. DUSZKIEWICZ: Yes, Judge, but 19 consistent with your promise to the jury that we're 20 going to break about 5:00 o'clock, I don't know 21 that I'm going to finish. If the Court wants me to 22 start, I certainly can, but I think we're going to 23 have to come back.

THE COURT: Ready to go home?

THE JURY: Yes.

1 THE COURT: Okay. All right. We will 2 break at this point. We'll start tomorrow at --3 we're going to try to start at 9:15 tomorrow. 4 MR. MUSITANO: Judge -- sorry. Can we 5 approach? THE COURT: Sure. 6 7 (Off the record discussion.) 8 THE COURT: I guess I'm going for a 9 hearing aid. This is just getting me down here. 10 Okay. What? 11 (Off the record discussion.) 12 THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry to take your 13 time, but we're trying to work out scheduling 14 and -- how would you like to start at 8:30 tomorrow 15 instead of 9:00, and then we'll -- because of some 16 conflicts, we'd break as close to noon as we can, 17 and we'd call it a day at that point, so you'd have 18 a little longer weekend? Does that work from 19 everybody's standpoint? 20 Okay. All right. And then we would resume 21 again on Monday. It's nice to make people happy. 22 All right. But that's the way we'll try. From the 23 marshal's standpoint can we be ready at 8:30? 24 DEPUTY MARSHAL: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. That's what we'll do.

I mean, you've been terrific today. Thank you. I mean, you know, we have wrinkles, and it takes a while sometimes to get a rhythm, and sometimes we don't get a rhythm until after the case is over, but we're going to try to get a rhythm before this case is over. We'll see everybody tomorrow at what time?

THE JURY: 8:30.

THE COURT: Please, no social or Internet access today. Don't do any investigating. Don't do any research. Just try to, as best you can, recall for yourselves what took place today, but don't talk about it amongst yourselves, because you have to not pass judgment until you get through your deliberations. Don't discuss the case with anybody at home.

Be safe on your return home. I've arranged for a nice day weather-wise tomorrow, especially around those 7:00 to 8:30 hours in the morning. We'll see you tomorrow at 8:30. Thank you very much.

Appreciate it.

(Jurors excused from the courtroom.)

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. Mr. Valerio, we'll see you tomorrow, okay, 8:30. Thank you very much.

CERTIFICATION I certify that the foregoing is a Correct transcription of the proceedings Recorded by me in this matter. s/Michelle L. McLaughlin Michelle L. McLaughlin, RPR Official Reporter U.S.D.C., W.D.N.Y.